

# Solutions to the 2020-04-20 exam

**Note.** The following solutions do not include all computations. The computations do need to be included on the actual exam.

## Question 1

- (a) We first invert the coefficients in front of the variable  $x$ . We see that  $3 \cdot 5 \equiv_7 1$ , that  $3 \cdot 7 \equiv_{10} 1$  and that  $7 \cdot 4 \equiv_{27} 1$ , hence the given system of congruences is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 5 \cdot 4 \equiv 4 \pmod{7} \\ x \equiv 4 \cdot 7 \equiv 8 \pmod{10} \\ x \equiv 19 \cdot 4 \equiv 22 \pmod{27} \end{cases}$$

The first congruence yields that  $x = 7k + 4$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Inserting this in the second congruence yields  $7k \equiv_{10} 8 - 4 \equiv_{10} 4$ . Since 3 is a multiplicative inverse for 7 modulo 10, we find that  $k \equiv_{10} 3 \cdot 4 \equiv_{10} 2$ . In particular, we find that  $x = 7(10l + 2) + 4 = 70l + 18$  for some  $l \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The third congruence now yields that

$$16l \equiv_{27} 70l \equiv_{27} 22 - 18 \equiv_{27} 4.$$

The extended Euclidean algorithm can be used to compute that 22 is a multiplicative inverse of 16 modulo 27, hence  $l \equiv_{27} 22 \cdot 4 \equiv_{27} 7$ . We see that the integer solutions to the given system of congruences are given by  $x = 70(27m + 7) + 18 = 1890m + 508$  where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

- (b) First suppose that  $g \in G$  is a generator. Then  $\text{ord}(g) = N$  by definition, hence  $g^{N/p_i} \neq 1$  since  $0 < N/p_i < N$ . Conversely, suppose that an element  $g \in G$  is given such that  $g^{N/p_i} \neq 1$  for all prime factors  $p_i$  of  $N = p_1^{r_1} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{r_k} = |G|$ . By Lagrange's Theorem,  $\text{ord}(g)$  is a divisor of  $N = |G|$ . If  $g$  is not a generator of  $G$ , then  $\text{ord}(g) < N$ , hence there must be a prime number  $p_i$  such that  $p_i^{r_i}$  does not divide  $\text{ord}(g)$ . This implies that  $g^{N/p_i} = 1$ , which is a contradiction. We therefore conclude that  $g$  is a generator of  $G$ .
- (c) Fix a primitive root  $g$  of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Then  $a = g^n$  for a unique  $0 \leq n < p - 1$ . The equation  $x^2 \equiv_p a$  has a solution if and only if  $n$  is even. Assume this is the case and write  $n = 2i$ . Then

$$(a^{(p+1)/4})^2 \equiv_p g^{2 \cdot 2i \cdot (p+1)/4} \equiv_p g^{(p+1)i} \equiv_p g^{2i} \equiv_p a,$$

where we use that  $p + 1 \equiv_{p-1} 2$ . This shows that  $a^{(p+1)/4}$  and  $-a^{(p+1)/4}$  are the two solutions to  $x^2 \equiv_p a$ . On the other hand, if  $n$  is odd, then write  $n = 2j + 1$ . A computation similar to the one above shows that  $a^{(p+1)/2} \equiv_p g^{2j+1+(p-1)/2}$ . Since  $g^{(p-1)/2} \equiv_p -1$ , we conclude that  $a^{(p+1)/2} = -a$ .

## Question 2

- (a) Let  $N = 28409$  and choose  $a = 5$  as a potential witness for the Miller-Rabin test. We first compute that  $\gcd(a, N) = 1$ . By repeatedly dividing  $N - 1 = 28408$  by 2, we find that  $28408 = 2^3 \cdot 3551$ . The next step is to compute that  $5^{3551} \equiv_{28409} 1$ . Since this is congruent to 1 modulo 28409, the test fails and  $a = 5$  is not a witness for the compositeness of 28409.
- (b) First note that  $221 = 17 \cdot 13$  and that  $16 \cdot 12 = 192$ . An application of the extended Euclidean algorithm gives us that  $\gcd(77, 192) = 1$  and that 5 is a multiplicative inverse of 77 modulo 192. In particular, the congruence

$$x^{77} \equiv_{221} 11$$

has one solution modulo 221, namely  $11^5 \equiv_{221} 163$ . We conclude that the set of all integer solutions is given by

$$\{77 + 221k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

- (c) First note that 47 is prime, hence the order of 11 divides 46. One can check that  $11^2, 11^{23} \not\equiv_{47} 1$ , hence the order of 11 must equal 46. Note that  $\lfloor \sqrt{46} \rfloor + 1 = 7$ . We now make two lists

$i$	$11^i$	$41 \cdot 11^{-7i}$
0	1	41
1	11	18
2	27	40
3	15	21
4	24	31
5	29	1
6	37	44
7	31	9

where  $11^{-7} \equiv_{47} 44$  is computed by applying the extended Euclidean algorithm to  $11^7 \equiv_{47} 31$ . We find two collisions, namely  $11^0 \equiv_{47} 41 \cdot 11^{-35}$  and  $11^7 \equiv_{47} 41 \cdot 11^{28}$ . Both of these tell us that  $11^{35} \equiv_{47} 41$ , so  $x = 35$  solves the DLP.

**Note.** Strictly speaking, we did not have to check whether the order of 11 equals 46 in order to use  $\lfloor \sqrt{46} \rfloor + 1 = 7$ . As long as  $\text{ord}(11) \leq 46$ , then we know that Shank's baby-step giant-leap algorithm will always find at least one collision, given that a solution exists.

### Question 3

(a) Make the following two tables for  $\mathbb{F}_{17}$ :

$x$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$x^3 + x + 4$	4	6	14	0	4	15	5	14	14	11	11	3	10	4	8	11	2

and

$y$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
$y^2$	0	1	4	9	16	8	2	15	13

By comparing these tables, we find that the set of points on the given elliptic curve over  $\mathbb{F}_{17}$  is

$$\{(0, \pm 2), (3, 0), (4, \pm 2), (5, \pm 7), (13, \pm 2), (14, \pm 5), (16, \pm 6), \mathcal{O}\}.$$

(b) A point on an elliptic curve has order 2 if and only if its  $y$ -coordinate is 0. The polynomial  $x^3 - x$  has three zeroes over  $\mathbb{F}_{37}$ , namely  $x = 0$  and  $x = \pm 1$ . In particular, the elliptic curve  $E$  has three points of order 2, namely  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(1, 0)$  and  $(-1, 0)$ . Since any cyclic group has at most one point of order 2, we conclude that the group of points of  $E$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{37}$  is not cyclic.

(c) In Lenstra's factorization algorithm, one computes  $n!P$  for increasing values of  $n$ , and the algorithm finishes either when the computation of  $n!P$  fails or when it becomes equal to  $\mathcal{O}$ . In case that the computation "fails", then this yields a number  $k$  such that  $1 < \gcd(k, N) < N$ , hence one has found a non-trivial factor of  $N$ . In the case that  $n!P$  becomes equal to  $\mathcal{O}$ , then the algorithm finishes without giving a non-trivial factor of  $N$ . By the Chinese remainder theorem, the addition of two points  $P$  and  $Q$  on  $E$  modulo  $N = pq$  fails precisely if  $P + Q = \mathcal{O}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $P + Q \neq \mathcal{O}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , or the other way around. In particular, the computation of  $n!P$  on  $E$  modulo  $N$  fails if the order of  $P$  on  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$  divides  $n!$ , while the order of  $P$  on  $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$  does not divide  $n!$ , or the other way around.

This means that in order to solve this exercise, we should check for which values of  $n$  the numbers 151, 401, 160, 406, 156 and 408 divide  $n!$ . One can compute that none of these numbers divides  $7!$ , while 160 divides  $8!$ . This implies that the elliptic curve corresponding to  $(A_2, a_2, b_2)$  is the first one for which Lenstra's algorithm finishes and gives you a non-trivial factor.

### Question 4

Throughout this question, Theorem 6.6 of the book is used to compute the sums of points on  $E(\mathbb{F}_{107})$ . Note that  $102 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 17$ . In order apply Pohlig-Hellman, we first to compute  $2 \cdot 3P = 6P$ ,  $2 \cdot 17P = 34P$  and  $3 \cdot 17P = 51P$ . Using the given table, this comes down to computing

$$\begin{aligned} 6P &= 2P + 4P = (36, 87) + (3, 101) = (22, 40), \\ 34P &= 2P + 32P = (36, 87) + (51, 41) = (47, 68), \\ 51P &= 34P + 16P + P = (47, 68) + (28, 66) + (4, 17) = (106, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Note that since none of these points is  $\mathcal{O}$ , question 1(b) tells us that the order of  $P$  on  $E(\mathbb{F}_{107})$  is 102. We now need to compute  $6Q$ ,  $34Q$  and  $51Q$  as well. In order to compute  $6Q$ , we first compute  $2Q = (47, 39) + (47, 39) = (47, 68)$ . Since  $Q$  and  $2Q$  have the same  $x$ -coordinates, we see that they must be inverse to each other; i.e.  $Q + 2Q = \mathcal{O}$ . In particular,  $Q$  has order 3. Since  $6 \equiv_3 0$ , while  $34 \equiv_3 1$  and  $51 \equiv_3 0$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} 6Q &= 0Q = \mathcal{O}, \\ 34Q &= Q = (47, 39), \\ 51Q &= 0Q = \mathcal{O}. \end{aligned}$$

We now need to solve the following three elliptic curve DLPs:

$$\begin{aligned} x_{17} \cdot 6P &= x_{17}(22, 40) = 6Q = \mathcal{O}, \\ x_3 \cdot 34P &= x_3(47, 68) = 34Q = (47, 39), \\ x_2 \cdot 51P &= x_2(106, 0) = 51Q = \mathcal{O}. \end{aligned}$$

It can be seen directly that  $x_{17} = 0$ ,  $x_2 = 0$  and  $x_3 = -1$  solve these DLPs. In particular, a solution to the original DLP  $xP = Q$  can be found by solving the system of congruences

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 0 \pmod{2}, \\ x \equiv -1 \pmod{3}, \\ x \equiv 0 \pmod{17}. \end{cases}$$

An application of the Chinese remainder theorem yields that  $x = 68$  is a solution.

## Question 5

(a) Note that  $N \equiv_{19} 5$  and  $N \equiv_{23} 18$ .

By definition,  $F(a+k)$  is divisible by 19 if and only if  $(a+k)^2 \equiv_{19} N \equiv_{19} 5$ . By computing squares of integers modulo 19, we find that  $9^2 \equiv_{19} 5$ , hence that  $(a+k)^2 \equiv_{19} 5$  if and only if  $a+k \equiv_{19} \pm 9$ . Since  $a \equiv_{19} 14$ , we see that 19 divides  $F(a+k)$  if and only if  $k \equiv_{19} 14$  or  $k \equiv_{19} 15$ .

Similarly,  $F(a+k)$  is divisible by 23 if and only if  $(a+k)^2 \equiv_{23} 18$ . By computing squares modulo 23, we find that  $8^2 \equiv_{23} 18$ , hence that  $(a+k)^2 \equiv_{23} 18$  if and only if  $a+k \equiv_{23} \pm 8$ . Since  $a \equiv_{23} 7$ , we see that 23 divides  $F(a+k)$  if and only if  $k \equiv_{23} 1$  or  $k \equiv_{23} 8$ .

(b) We need to find all products of the 13-smooth numbers

$$\begin{aligned} (a+59)^2 - N &= 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7, \\ (a+154)^2 - N &= 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^3, \\ (a+559)^2 - N &= 3^7 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11, \\ (a+1168)^2 - N &= 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^4, \\ (a+2098)^2 - N &= 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^3, \\ (a+2343)^2 - N &= 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^7 \cdot 11, \end{aligned}$$

that are perfect squares. This amounts to doing linear algebra over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  with the exponents of the prime numbers on the right-hand side of the equations above. To this end, consider the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the columns correspond to the 11-smooth numbers given above, and the rows to the prime factors 2, 3, 5, 7, 11 and 13. More precisely, the entry at the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column is equal to 1 if, for the  $j$ -th 13-smooth number given above, the exponent of the  $i$ -th prime factor is an odd number, and this entry is equal to 0 otherwise. The perfect squares that can be formed using the given 13-smooth numbers correspond to elements of the kernel of  $M$ . Since we are working modulo 2, the number of elements in  $\ker(M)$  is equal to  $2^{\dim(\ker(M))}$ . Performing Gaussian elimination on the matrix  $M$  (modulo 2) yields the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This shows that  $M$  has rank 3. Since  $M$  has six columns, it follows that its kernel must have dimension 3, hence that one can form  $2^3 = 8$  perfect squares out of the given 13-smooth numbers. Note, however, that this also includes the case  $0 = 0^2$ , hence there are 7 non-trivial perfect squares that can be formed. Two examples of vectors in the kernel of  $M$  are  $(1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0)$  and  $(0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0)$ , which correspond to the perfect squares

$$(a + 59)^2(a + 559)^2 = 2364864^2 \equiv_N 3^4 \cdot 5^8 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13^2$$

and

$$(a + 154)^2(a + 2098)^2 = 4695841^2 \equiv_N 2^2 \cdot 3^{14} \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 13^2,$$

respectively.

(c) The Euclidean algorithm gives us

$$\gcd(2364864 - 3^2 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7 \cdot 13, N) = 1181$$

and

$$\gcd(4695841 - 2 \cdot 3^7 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 13, N) = 1181,$$

so both perfect squares that we found give us the same factor 1181 of  $N$ .