

Solutions exam Probability III

October, 2021

Problem 1

(a) Let \mathcal{A} be a collection of subsets of a sample space Ω . What is the definition of the σ -field generated by \mathcal{A} ? (3p)

Solution: The σ -field generated by \mathcal{A} is the smallest σ -field that contains all elements of \mathcal{A} .

(b) Let A and B be two subsets of Ω , that satisfy $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$, $A \cup B \neq \Omega$, $A \cap B \neq A$ and $A \cap B \neq B$ (i.e. A and B are overlapping, do not fill up Ω and neither A nor B is fully contained in the other set). Provide a partition \mathcal{P} of Ω , such that the σ -field generated by A and B is the same as the σ -field generated by \mathcal{P} . (4p)

Solution: The partition consists of

- $P_1 = A \cap B$,
- $P_2 = A \cap B^C$,
- $P_3 = A^C \cap B$ and
- $P_4 = A^C \cap B^C$.

This is a partition because $P_1 \cup P_2 = A$ and $P_3 \cup P_4 = A^C$. So, $\cup_{i=1}^4 P_i = \Omega$ and $(P_1 \cup P_2) \cap (P_3 \cup P_4) = \emptyset$. Finally $P_1, P_3 \subset B$ and $P_2, P_4 \subset B^C$. So, $P_1 \cap P_2 = \emptyset$ and $P_3 \cap P_4 = \emptyset$.

None of the elements of the partition is empty, because by assumption P_1 , P_2 and P_3 are not empty, while $P_4 \neq \emptyset$, because

$$P_4^C = (A^C \cap B^C)^C = A \cup B \neq \Omega = \emptyset^C.$$

Since complements, intersections and unions of elements of a σ -field are also in the σ -field. All elements of the partition are in the smallest σ -field containing A and B . While $A = P_1 \cup P_2$ and $B = P_1 \cup P_3$ are in the smallest σ algebra generated by the partition.

(c) Let A and B be as in part b). Let \mathcal{F}_A be a σ -field that contains A , but does not contain B and let \mathcal{F}_B be a σ -field that contains B , but does not contain A . Show that the σ -field generated by A and B necessarily contains at least one element that is neither in \mathcal{F}_A nor in \mathcal{F}_B . (5p)

Solution: Assume first that P_1, P_2, P_3 and P_4 are all in $\mathcal{F}_A \cup \mathcal{F}_B$ and that $P_1 = A \cap B \in \mathcal{F}_A$. (If $P_1 \notin \mathcal{F}_A$ Then the roles of A and B (and therefore P_2 and P_3) can be interchanged

- $P_3 = A^C \cap B \notin \mathcal{F}_A$, because $P_3 \in \mathcal{F}_A$ would (by definition of a σ -field and $P_1 \in \mathcal{F}_A$) imply $P_1 \cup P_3 \in \mathcal{F}_A$. However, $P_1 \cup P_3 = B \notin \mathcal{F}_A$ by assumption. So $P_3 \in \mathcal{F}_B$.
- Similarly, $P_3 \in \mathcal{F}_B$ implies $P_4 = A^C \cap B^C \notin \mathcal{F}_B$, because $P_4 \cup P_3 = A^C \notin \mathcal{F}_B$, because $A \notin \mathcal{F}_B$ by assumption. So $P_4 \in \mathcal{F}_A$.
- $P_4 \in \mathcal{F}_A$ implies $P_4 \cup A \in \mathcal{F}_A$. Since $P_4 \cup A = P_1 \cup P_2 \cup P_4 = (P_3)^C$ we have $P_3 \in \mathcal{F}_A$. Which contradicts the first bulletpoint.

Problem 2

Let X be geometrically distributed with parameter $p \in (0, 1)$, that is

$$\mathbb{P}(X = k) = p(1 - p)^{k-1}, \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

and $\mathbb{P}(X = k) = 0$ if $k \notin \mathbb{N}$.

a) Show that the probability generating function $g(s) = \mathbb{E}[s^X]$ of X for $s \in [0, 1]$ is given by $g(s) = \frac{ps}{1-(1-p)s}$. (2p)

Solution:

$$g(s) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X = k) s^k = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p(1 - p)^{k-1} s^k = ps \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [(1 - p)s]^{k-1} = \frac{ps}{1-(1-p)s}.$$

For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ let $X_{i,j}$ be independent and identically distributed random variables all distributed as X . Set $Z_1 = X$ and define inductively $Z_{n+1} = \sum_{j=1}^{Z_n} X_{n,j}$. Define $g_n = \mathbb{E}[s^{Z_n}]$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in [0, 1]$.

b) Show that $g_{n+1}(s) = g_n(g(s))$, and use that to prove that

$$g_n(s) = \frac{p^n s}{1 - (1 - p^n)s}, \quad \text{for } s \in [0, 1] \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (4p)$$

Solution:

$$g_{n+1}(s) = \mathbb{E}[s^{Z_{n+1}}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[s^{\sum_{j=1}^{Z_n} X_{n,j}} | Z_n]] = \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{Z_n} \mathbb{E}[s^{X_{n,j}} | Z_n]\right],$$

where we have used independence of the $X_{n,j}$. Because all $X_{n,j}$ are distributed as X , we further obtain

$$g_{n+1}(s) = \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{Z_n} \mathbb{E}[s^{X_{n,j}} | Z_n]\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\prod_{j=1}^{Z_n} \mathbb{E}[s^X]\right] = \mathbb{E}[g(s)^{Z_n}] = g_n(g(s)).$$

We use induction to show $g_n(s) = \frac{p^n s}{1-(1-p^n)s}$. It is easy to see that $g_n(s) = \frac{p^n s}{1-(1-p^n)s}$ for $n = 1$ by a. If $g_n(s) = \frac{p^n s}{1-(1-p^n)s}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n+1}(s) = g_n(g(s)) &= \frac{p^n g(s)}{1 - (1 - p^n)g(s)} = \frac{p^n ps / [1 - (1 - p)s]}{1 - (1 - p^n)ps / [1 - (1 - p)s]} \\ &= \frac{p^{n+1}s}{[1 - (1 - p)s] - (p - p^{n+1})s} = \frac{p^{n+1}s}{1 - (1 - p^{n+1})s}. \end{aligned}$$

And the proof is complete.

Remark: In what follows you may extend the domain of $g_n(s)$ without further proof to $s \in [0, 1/(1 - p^n))$. So,

$$g_n(s) = \mathbb{E}[s^{Z_n}] = \frac{p^n s}{1 - (1 - p^n)s} \quad \text{for } s \in [0, 1/(1 - p^n))$$

and that

$$\psi_n(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tZ_n}] = g_n(e^t) \quad \text{for } t < -\log(1 - p^n) = |\log(1 - p^n)|.$$

c) Show that $p^n Z_n$ converges in distribution to an exponentially distributed random variable with expectation 1. (6p)

Solution: We use that the moment generating function of $p^n Z_n$ is equal to $\psi_n(p^n t) = g_n(e^{p^n t})$, for $t < p^{-n} |\log(1 - p^n)|$.

There are many ways to continue and here is one:

We define

$$h(t) = e^t - (1 + t).$$

Then, for $t < p^{-n} |\log(1 - p^n)|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_n(p^n t) &= g_n(p^n e^t) = \frac{p^n e^{tp^n}}{1 - (1 - p^n)e^{tp^n}} = \frac{p^n [1 + tp^n + h(tp^n)]}{1 - (1 - p^n)[1 + tp^n + h(tp^n)]} \\ &= \frac{p^n [1 + tp^n + h(tp^n)]}{(1 - t)p^n + tp^{2n} + (1 - p^n)h(tp^n)} = \frac{1 + tp^n + h(tp^n)}{(1 - t) + tp^n - (1 - p^n)h(tp^n)/p^n}. \end{aligned}$$

We note that as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $p^n \rightarrow 0$ and $tp^n \rightarrow 0$. Because $h(x)/x \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow 0$ we have also $h(tp^n)/p^n = th(tp^n)/[tp^n] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, $p^{-n} |\log(1 - p^n)| \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, because $\log(1 - x)/x \rightarrow 1$ as $x \rightarrow 0$. This leads to $\psi_n(p^n t) \rightarrow 1/(1 - t)$, for $t \in (-\infty, 1)$ (which contains an open interval around 0). The function $1/(1 - t)$ is the moment generating function for an exponentially distributed random variable with expectation 1, so $p^n Z_n$ converges in distribution to an exponential distributed random variable with expectation 1.

Problem 3

Let A_1, A_2, \dots be independent events on the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ and define

$$A := \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m.$$

That is, the A_i 's happen infinitely often.

a) Prove (a special case of) the first Borel-Cantelli Lemma:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i) < \infty$$

implies $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$. (3p)

Solution: For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $A \subset \bigcup_{m=i}^{\infty} A_m$ and therefore we have for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{m=i}^{\infty} A_m\right) \leq \sum_{m=i}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_m),$$

which is decreasing in i and converges to 0 because $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i) < \infty$.

b) Prove the second Borel-Cantelli Lemma:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_i) = \infty$$

implies $\mathbb{P}(A) = 1$. (3p)

Solution: Note that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty$ implies $\sum_{n=m}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty$ for all $m \geq 0$. Also note that $A^c = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m^c$.

By $e^{-x} \geq 1 - x$ for $x \geq 0$ and independence, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m^c\right) = \prod_{m=n}^{\infty} [1 - \mathbb{P}(A_m)] \leq \prod_{m=n}^{\infty} \exp[-\mathbb{P}(A_m)] = \exp\left[-\sum_{m=n}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_m)\right] = 0$$

by $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(A_n) = \infty$. So,

$$\mathbb{P}(A^c) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m^c\right) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{m=n}^{\infty} A_m^c\right) = 0.$$

c) Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent random variables. Show that $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} 0$ if and only if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| > 1/k) < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. (6p)

Hint: You may use without proof that

$$\{X_n \not\rightarrow 0\} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} \{|X_n| > 1/k\}.$$

Solution: Define $A_n(1/k) := \{|X_n| > 1/k\}$. By the hint

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n \not\rightarrow 0) = \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} A_n(1/k)\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} A_n(1/k)\right).$$

From part a) we know that if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| > 1/k) < \infty$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\mathbb{P}\left(\bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} A_n(1/k)\right) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n \not\rightarrow 0) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 0 = 0.$$

If on the other hand

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_i| > 1/k_0) = \infty,$$

where $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ we have by part b) that

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ there exists } m \geq n \text{ such that } |X_m| > 1/k_0) = 1.$$

That is, $\mathbb{P}(X_n \not\rightarrow 0) = 1$.

Problem 4 Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = 1$, $\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1) < 1$ and $\mathbb{P}(X_1 > \epsilon) = 1$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let \mathcal{F}_n be the σ -field generated by X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n and $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$ the corresponding filtration.

a) For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define $Y_n = \prod_{k=1}^n X_k$. Show that Y_1, Y_2, \dots is a martingale with respect to $\underline{\mathcal{F}}$. (4p)

Solution: Y_n is by definition measurable with respect to \mathcal{F}_n .

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}[Y_n X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = Y_n \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n] = Y_n.$$

It follows also that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1} | \mathcal{F}_n]] = \mathbb{E}[Y_n] = \dots = \mathbb{E}[Y_1] = 1 < \infty.$$

b) Show that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)$ converges almost surely to a non-positive constant. (4p)

Solution: The logarithm is a concave function. So, By Jensen's inequality

$$\mathbb{E}[\log(X_1)] \leq \log(\mathbb{E}[X_1]) = \log(1) = 0.$$

Also note that $(\log x)^2 < x$ for all $x > x_0$ for some $x_0 > 0$ ($x_0 = 1$ does the job). So,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[(\log(X_1))^2] &= \mathbb{E}[(\log(X_1))^2 \mathbf{1}(X_1 \leq x_0)] + \mathbb{E}[(\log(X_1))^2 \mathbf{1}(X_1 > x_0)] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[(\log(X_1))^2 \mathbf{1}(X_1 \leq x_0)] + \mathbb{E}[X_1 \mathbf{1}(X_1 > x_0)] \leq \max_{\epsilon \leq x \leq x_0} (\log x)^2 + \mathbb{E}[X_1] < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So we can use the strong law of large numbers (THM 22 of cheat sheet) to show that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbb{E}[\log[X_1]] \leq 0.$$

Remark: It can be shown (and used without proof, if needed) that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)$ converges to a strictly negative constant.

c) Show that Y_n converges almost surely to 0.

Solution:

$$Y_n = \prod_{i=1}^n X_i = \prod_{i=1}^n e^{\log(X_i)} = e^{\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i)}.$$

So, if $\sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i) \xrightarrow{a.s.} -\infty$ we are done. By $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(X_i) \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathbb{E}[\log[X_i]] < 0$, this indeed holds. (4p)

Problem 5

Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent and identically distributed random variables with

$$\mathbb{P}(X_1 = 1) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 = -1) = 1/2.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be the filtration generated by those random variables. Define $S_0 = 0$ and $S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n S_k$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$T_{-a} = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N}; S_k = -a\} \quad \text{and} \quad T_b = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N}; S_k = b\},$$

be the hitting times of respectively $-a$ and b . Define $T = \min(T_{-a}, T_b)$.

a) Compute $p = \mathbb{P}(T_{-a} = T)$. (4p)

Solution: S_n is \mathcal{F}_n measurable by definition. $\mathbb{E}[|S_n|] \leq n < \infty$ for all n and $\mathbb{E}[S_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbb{E}[S_n + X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = S_n$. So, S_n is a martingale.

Furthermore let

$$K = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N}; T_{(a+b)(k-1)+1} = T_{(a+b)(k-1)+2} = \dots = T_{(a+b)k} = 1\}$$

be the smallest positive integer for which $T_{(a+b)(k-1)+1} = T_{(a+b)(k-1)+2} = \dots = T_{(a+b)k} = 1$. Because $a + b$ subsequent $+1$'s definitely brings you outside the strip (a, b) (if you were not already outside it), $T \leq K(a + b)$. It is trivial to see that K is geometrically distributed with parameter $2^{-(a+b)} \in (0, 1)$. Therefore K is finite with probability 1 and has finite expectation and as consequence T is finite with probability 1 and has finite expectation. We can use Theorem 25 and 26 of the cheat sheet (noting that S_n is bounded for $n \leq T$), to obtain that

$$0 = \mathbb{E}[S_1] = \mathbb{E}[S_T] = -a\mathbb{P}(T_{-a} = T) + b(1 - \mathbb{P}(T_{-a} = T)).$$

Therefore, $p = \mathbb{P}(T_{-a} = T) = b/(a + b)$.

b) Show that $Y_n = (S_n)^2 - n$ is an \mathcal{F} -martingale and show that $\mathbb{E}[T] = ab$. (4p)

Solution: Y_n is \mathcal{F}_n measurable, because S_n is. $\mathbb{E}[|Y_n|] \leq n^2 + n < \infty$ and with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] &= \mathbb{E}[(S_n + X_{n+1})^2 - (n+1)|\mathcal{F}_n] = (S_n^2) - (n+1) + 2S_n\mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] + \mathbb{E}[(X_{n+1})^2|\mathcal{F}_n] \\ &= (S_n)^2 - (n + 1) + 2S_n \times 0 + 1 = (S_n)^2 - n = Y_n. \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 25 of the cheat sheet we obtain

$$0 = \mathbb{E}[Y_1] = \mathbb{E}[Y_T] = pa^2 + (1 - p)b^2 - \mathbb{E}[T] = ab - \mathbb{E}[T]$$

and the statement of the question follows.

c) Compute $\mathbb{E}[TS_T]$. (4p)

Hint: Find a suitable martingale. You might consider $\mathbb{E}[(S_{n+1})^3 | \mathcal{F}_n]$, to get inspiration on which martingale would be suitable.

Solution: Follow the hint:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[(S_{n+1})^3 | \mathcal{F}_n] &= \mathbb{E}[(S_n + X_{n+1})^3 | \mathcal{F}_n] \\ &= (S_n)^3 + 3(S_n)^2 \mathbb{E}[X_{n+1}] + 3S_n \mathbb{E}[(X_{n+1})^2] + \mathbb{E}[(X_{n+1})^3] = (S_n)^3 + 3S_n.\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $Z_n = (S_n)^3 - 3nS_n$ satisfies the martingale property. Also $\mathbb{E}[|Z_n|] \leq n^3 + 3n^2$. The conditions of Theorem 25 are easily checked and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}0 = \mathbb{E}[Z_1] = \mathbb{E}[Z_T] &= -pa^3 + (1-p)b^3 - 3\mathbb{E}[TS_T] \\ &= ba \frac{b^2 - a^2}{b + a} - 3\mathbb{E}[TS_T] = ab(b - a) - 3\mathbb{E}[TS_T].\end{aligned}$$

So, $\mathbb{E}[TS_T] = ab(b - a)/3$.