# Categorical Data Analysis - Examination 

February 16, 2022, 8.00-13.00

Examination by: Ola Hössjer, ph. 07067112 18, ola@math.su.se
Allowed to use: Miniräknare/pocket calculator and tables at the appendix of this exam. Aterlämning/Return of exam: Will be communicated on the course homepage and by email upon request.

Each correct solution to an exercise yields 10 points.
Limits for grade: A, B, C, D, and E are 45, 40, 35, 30, and 25 points of 60 possible points (including bonus of $0-10$ points from computer assignments).

Reasoning and notation should be clear. You might answer in Swedish or English.
Read first through the whole exam. Exercises need not to be ordered from simpler to harder.

## Problem 1

Let $Y$ equal 1 or 0 depending on whether a person of age $x$ years ever had coronary heart disease (CHD) symptoms or not.
a. Formulate a logistic regression model for this problem.
b. In a certain study (Hosmer and Lemeshow, 1989), 100 subjects participated and reported their age $x_{i}$ and CHD status $y_{i}, i=1, \ldots, 100$. Parameter estimates where $\hat{\alpha}=-5.310$ (intercept) and $\hat{\beta}=0.111$ (effect parameter). Use this to compute a prediction of the probability $\pi(60)$ that a 60 year old person in the study population had evidenced CHD symptoms.
c. The parameter estimates are approximately normally distributed with an estimated covariance matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\widehat{\operatorname{Var}}(\hat{\alpha}) & \widehat{\operatorname{Cov}}(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})  \tag{5p}\\
\widehat{\operatorname{Cov}}(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta}) & \widehat{\operatorname{Var}}(\hat{\beta})
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1.2852 & -0.0267 \\
-0.0267 & 0.0006
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Use this information to compute a $95 \%$ confidence interval for $\pi(60)$.

## Problem 2

a. Use a Wald test for the data set of Problem 1 in order to show that age has a significant effect on CHD symptoms at level 0.05.
b. The deviance is $G^{2}\left(M_{1}\right)=107.35$ for the logistic regression model $M_{1}$ with age included as a predictor, and $G^{2}\left(M_{0}\right)=136.66$ for the submodel $M_{0}$ without age as a predictor. Show by means of a likelihood ratio test that age has a significant effect on CHD symptoms at level 0.05.
c. Derive the likelihood score equations for $\alpha$ and $\beta$ for the data set $\left\{\left(x_{i}, y_{i}\right) ; i=\right.$ $1, \ldots, 100\}$ of Problem 1.
d. Suppose age is divided into $I$ levels $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{I}$, and that the total number of subjects (observed value 100) is Poisson distributed. Find a contingency table for the data set and a loglinear model corresponding to $M_{1}$.

## Problem 3

Consider a $2 \times 2$ table with independent binomial sampling for the two rows, so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N_{11} \sim \operatorname{Bin}\left(n_{1}, \pi_{1}\right), \\
& N_{21} \sim \operatorname{Bin}\left(n_{2}, \pi_{2}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n_{1}=n_{11}+n_{12}$ and $n_{2}=n_{21}+n_{22}$ are the two row sums of the table, and $n_{i j}$ is an observation of the random variable $N_{i j}$.
a. Define the relative risk $r$.
b. Find the maximum likelihood (ML) estimate $\hat{r}$ of $r$. Hint: Find first (without proof) the ML estimates of $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$.
c. It can be shown that $\log (\hat{r})$ is approximately normally distributed when $n_{1}$ and $n_{2}$ are both large, with mean $\log (r)$ and variance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}(\log (\hat{r}))=\frac{1-\pi_{1}}{n_{1} \pi_{1}}+\frac{1-\pi_{2}}{n_{2} \pi_{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use (1) in order to express the standard error

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SE}=\sqrt{\widehat{\operatorname{Var}}(\log (\hat{r}))} \tag{2p}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a function of $n_{11}, n_{12}, n_{21}, n_{22}$.
d. An automobile insurance company investigated whether the accidents rates at two geographic regions $A$ and $B$ were different. They registered how many of their customers in each region that had car accidents or not during a one-year period, with the following result:

| Regions | Accident? |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Total |
| A | 200 | 19800 | 20000 |
| B | 360 | 39640 | 40000 |

Compute a $95 \%$ confidence interval for the relative risk $r$. Is there a significant difference in accident rates between the two regions?

## Problem 4

The 2 x 2 x 2 contingency table below contains data for 576184 car accidents in Florida (Agresti, 2013). Three categorical variables were observed for each accident; whether the driver used safety belt $(S)$, was ejected $(E)$ and had a fatal injury $(I)$ or not.

|  |  | Injury |  |
| :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Safety belt? | Ejected? | Nonfatal | Fatal |
| Yes | Yes | 1105 | 14 |
|  | No | 411111 | 483 |
| No | Yes | 4624 | 497 |
|  | No | 157342 | 1008 |

We assume that all these numbers are observations of independent Poisson distributed random variables $N_{s e i}$ for $1 \leq s, e, i \leq 2$. The deviances $G^{2}(M)$ for a number of fitted loglinear models $M$ are as follows:

| Model | $G^{2}$ | $p$ | df |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (S,E,I) | 11444 |  |  |
| (SEII) | 3568 |  |  |
| (SI,E) | 9557 |  |  |
| (S,EI) | 9021 |  |  |
| (SE,EI) | 1145 |  |  |
| (SE,SI) | 1681 |  |  |
| (SE,SIIEI) | 2.85 |  |  |
| (SEI) | 0 |  |  |

a. Fill out the remaining two columns for the number of parameters $p$ and degrees of freedom df of each model. (Motivate your answer, but you don't need to specify the parameters of the models.)
b. Which model is selected by the AIC criterion? (Hint: Part of the solution is to motivate why $\operatorname{AIC}(M)$ need not be computed for any model $M$.)
c. Which of the two models (SE,SI,EI) or (SEI) is selected by a likelihood ratio test at significance level 0.05?
d. Specify the loglinear parameters of model (SE,SI,EI) and write down the distribution of $N_{s e i}$ in terms of these parameters. Which parameters are constrained to equal 0 if 2 is the baseline level for $S, E$ and $I$ ?

## Problem 5

Let $n_{i j}, 1 \leq i \leq I, 1 \leq j \leq J$ be the observed counts for all cells of a two-way $I \times J$ contingency table. Assuming that all $n_{i j}$ are observations of random variables $N_{i j}$ :
a. Determine the joint probability function $P\left(N_{11}=n_{11}, \ldots, N_{I J}=n_{I J}\right)$ under Poisson sampling in terms of all $\mu_{i j}=E\left(N_{i j}\right)$.
b. Determine the joint probability function $P\left(N_{11}=n_{11}, \ldots, N_{I J}=n_{I J}\right)$ under multinomial sampling with a total of $n=\sum_{i j} n_{i j}$ observations and cell probabilities $\pi_{i j}$. (3p)
c. Which of the three studies; cohort, case-control and clinical trial, is typically based on multinomial sampling?
d. Show that multinomial sampling can be obtained from Poisson sampling by conditioning on the value of $N=\sum_{i j} N_{i j}$. (Hint: The cell probabilities are $\pi_{i j}=\mu_{i j} / \mu$, where $\mu=\sum_{i j} \mu_{i j}$.)

Good luck!

## Appendix A - Table for chi-square distribution

Table 1: Quantiles of the chi-square distribution with $\mathrm{df}=1,2, \ldots, 12$ degrees of freedom

|  |  |  |  |  |  | S |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| prob | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |  |  |
| 0.8000 | 1.64 | 3.22 | 4.64 | 5.99 | 7.2 | 8.56 | 9.80 | 11 | 12.24 | 13.44 | 14.63 | 15 |
| 0.9000 | 2.71 | 4.61 | 6 | 7.78 | 9 | 10 | 12.02 | 13 |  | 99 | 8 |  |
| 00 | 3.84 | 5.99 | 7.81 | 9.49 | 11.07 | 12.59 | 14.07 | 15.51 | 16.92 | 18.31 | 19.68 |  |
| 0.9750 | 5. | 7.38 | 9.35 | 11 | 12.83 | 14.45 |  | 17 | 2 | 8 | 2 |  |
| 000 | 5. | 7. | 9 | 11 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 18 | 19.68 | 6 | 2 |  |
| 0.9850 | 5.9 | 8.40 | 10.47 | 12 | 14 | 15 |  | 18 | 20.51 | 22.02 | 23.50 |  |
| 0.9900 | 6.63 | 9.21 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 16.81 | 18.48 | 2 | 21.67 | 23.21 | 24.72 |  |
| 0.9910 | 6.82 | 9.42 | 11.57 | 13.52 | 15.34 | 17.08 | 18.75 | 20.38 | 21.96 | 23.51 | 25.04 |  |
| 0.9920 | 7 | 9 | 1 | 13.79 | 1 | 17.37 | 19.06 | 20.70 | 22.29 | 5 | 9 |  |
| 0.9930 | 7 | 9 | 12 | 1 | 15 | 17 | 1 | 2 | 22.66 | 4 | 25.78 |  |
| 0.9940 | 7.55 | 10.2 | 12.45 |  | 16 | 18 |  | 21 | 23.09 | 24.67 | 26.23 |  |
| - | 7. | 10 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 20.28 | 2 | 23.59 | 9 | 6 |  |
| 9960 | 8.28 | 11.04 | 13.32 | 15 | 17.28 | 19.10 | 20.85 | 22.55 | 24.20 | 25.81 | 27.40 |  |
| 0.99 | 8.8 | 11 | 13 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 21.58 | 2 | 2 | 26.61 | 28.22 |  |
| 0.9980 | 9.55 | 12 | 14.80 | 16 | 18 | 20.79 | 22.60 | 24.35 | 26.06 | 27.72 | 29.35 |  |
| 0.9990 | 10.83 | 13.82 | 16.27 | 18.47 | 20 | 22.46 | 24.32 | 26. | 27.88 | 29.59 | 31.26 |  |
| 0.9991 | 11.02 | 14.03 | 16.49 | 18.70 | 20 | 22.71 | 24.58 | 26.39 | 28.15 | 29.87 | 31.55 |  |
| 0.9992 | 11.24 | 1 | 16 | 18 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 2 | 28.46 | 30.18 | 31.87 |  |
| 0.9993 | 11.49 | 14.53 | 17.02 | 19.26 | 21.34 | 23 | 25.20 | 27.02 | 28.80 | 30.53 | 32.23 |  |
| 0.9994 | 11.78 | 14.84 | 17.35 | 19.60 | 21.69 | 23.67 | 25.57 | 27.41 | 29.20 | 30.94 | 32.65 |  |
| 0.9995 | 12.12 | 15.20 | 17.73 | 20.00 | 22.1 | 24.10 | 26.02 | 27.87 | 29.67 | 31.42 | 33.14 |  |
| 0.9996 | 12.53 | 15.65 | 18.20 | 20.49 | 22.61 | 24.63 | 26.56 | 28.42 | 30.24 | 32.00 | 33.73 | 35.43 |
| 0.9997 | 13.07 | 16.22 | 18.80 | 21.12 | 23.2 | 25.30 | 27.25 | 29.14 | 30.97 | 32.75 | 34.50 | 36.21 |
| 0.9998 | 13.83 | 17.03 | 19.66 | 22.00 | 24.19 | 26.25 | 28.23 | 30.14 | 31.99 | 33.80 | 35.56 | 37.30 |
| 0.9999 | 15.14 | 18.42 | 21.11 | 23.51 | 25.74 | 27.86 | 29.88 | 31.83 | 33.72 | 35.56 | 37.37 | 39 |

