

Solutions to Exam in Dynamical systems and optimal control theory, 2019-10-25

- (1) We divide the proof into three parts. (i) " $\mathcal{R}_t \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A, B)$ " : Pick up an arbitrary  $\xi \in \mathcal{R}_t$ . Now  $\xi = x(t) = \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} Bu(s) ds$ . By the Caylay Hamilton Theorem,  $e^{At} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j(t) A^j$ . Hence

$$\xi = \int_0^t \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \alpha_j(t-s) A^j Bu(s) ds = (B, AB, \dots, A^{n-1}B) \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} \int_0^t \alpha_0(t-s)u(s)ds \\ \vdots \\ \int_0^t \alpha_{n-1}(t-s)u(s)ds \end{pmatrix}}_{\in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}}$$

which means  $\xi \in \mathcal{R}(A, B)$ .

(ii) " $\mathcal{R}(A, B) \subseteq \text{Im}W(0, t)$ " where  $W(0, t) = \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} BB' e^{A'(t-s)} ds$ : Pick up  $\xi_1 \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then there exists  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{nm}$  such that  $R(A, B)\eta = \xi_1$ . Assume  $\xi_1 \notin \text{Im}W(0, t)$  for some  $t > 0$ . We shall show that this leads to a contradiction. Indeed this assumption implies that the  $\ker W(0, t)$  is not empty and therefore there exists a nonzero  $\xi_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $W(0, t)\xi_2 = 0$ . Note that  $\xi_2' \xi_1 \neq 0$  (for otherwise  $\xi_1 \in \text{Im}W(0, t)' = \text{Im}W(0, t)$  since  $W(0, t)$  is symmetric, which is not true by assumption). Now consider

$$0 = \xi_2' W(0, t) \xi_2 = \int_0^t \xi_2' e^{(t-s)A} BB' e^{(t-s)A'} \xi_2 ds = \int_0^t \|\xi_2' e^{(t-s)A} B\|^2 ds.$$

implying  $\xi_2' e^{(t-s)A} B = 0$  for all  $s \in [0, t]$ . Successive differentiation of both sides w.r.t.  $s$  and evaluate at  $s = t$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_2' B &= -\xi_2' AB = \dots = (-1)^k \xi_2' A^k B = 0 \quad \forall k > 0, \Leftrightarrow \xi_2' A^k B = 0 \quad \forall k \geq 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \xi_2' \xi_1 = \xi_2' R(A, B)\eta = 0, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction, which shows that  $\xi_1 \in \text{Im}W(0, t)$ .

(iii) " $\text{Im}W(0, t) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_t$ ": Take any  $\xi \in \text{Im}W(0, t)$ . Then there exists an  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $W(0, t)\eta = \xi$ . Define  $u = B' e^{A'(t-s)} \eta$  for all  $0 \leq s \leq t$ . Then the solution to  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$  with  $x(0) = 0$  at  $t$  is

$$x(t) = \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} Bu(s) ds = \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} BB' e^{A'(t-s)} \eta ds = W(0, t)\eta = \xi$$

which implies, by definition,  $\xi \in \mathcal{R}_t$ . Now we have  $\mathcal{R}_t \subseteq \mathcal{R}(A, B) \subseteq \text{Im}W(0, t) \subseteq \mathcal{R}_t$ . This completes the proof.

- (2) Let  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $R(A, b) = (b, Ab, A^2b, A^3b) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 4 & 12 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 8 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

has rank 3. And the system is already in the decomposed form. The subsystem of the first three equations is controllable and the uncontrollable mode is  $-1$ . So the system is asymptotically controllable and thus stabilizable. A state feedback can be  $u = Kx$  with  $K = (-16, -8, 0, 0)$ . Since the system is not completely controllable so it is not possible to place all eigenvalues at 2.

- (3) Pick up  $z \in \ker(K) \Leftrightarrow Kz = 0$ . Then

$$(*) \quad 0 = -A'Kz - KAz + K L K z - C' C z = -KAz - C' C z$$

and thus

$$0 = -z' K A z - z' C' C z = -z' C' C z \Leftrightarrow C z = 0$$

Now (\*) becomes  $KAz = 0$ , i.e.  $Az \in \ker(K)$ , that is  $\ker(K)$  is  $A$ -invariant. Multiplying the (ARE) by  $Az$  from left gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -A'KAz - KA^2z + KLKAz - C'CAz = -KAz - C'Cz = -KA^2 - C'CAz \\ \Rightarrow 0 &= \underbrace{-z'A'KA^2z}_0 - z'A'C'CAz, \Rightarrow CAz = 0 \Rightarrow KA^2z = 0 \Rightarrow A^2z \in \ker(K) \end{aligned}$$

Continue in the similar manner we obtain  $A^kz \in \ker(K)$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . This yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} z = 0 \Rightarrow z \in \ker \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since  $(A, C)$  is observable,  $z$  must be zero so the  $\ker(K)$  is trivial, i.e.,  $K$  is nonsingular.

- (4) Solving the equations  $-x_1 + g(x_2) = 0$ ,  $\dot{x}_2 = -x_2 + h(x_1) = 0$  we obtain a unique equilibrium at the origin. Consider next the function  $V(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2/2 + x_2^2/2$ . Obviously  $V$  and its partial derivatives are continuous and  $V(x_1, x_2) > 00$  for all  $0 \neq (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Now we compute  $\dot{V}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(x_1, x_2) &= -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + x_1g(x_2) + x_2h(x_1) \leq -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + |x_1x_2|/2 + |x_1x_2|/2 \\ &= -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + |x_1x_2| \leq -x_1^2 - x_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2) - \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2) = -V(x_1, x_2) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all  $0 \neq (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . So the system is globally asymptotically stable.

- (5) Here is a "raw" computation. Note that we only have to compute the (1,1)-element in  $(sI - A)^{-1}$  because only the first element in  $b$  and  $c$  is non-zero. I use the Cramér rule and multiply then  $1/g_1$  to get  $c'(sI - A)^{-1}b = \frac{p(s)}{q(s)}$  where

$$\begin{aligned} p(s) &= s^2 + \left( \frac{1}{g_2g_3} + \frac{1}{g_3g_4} + \frac{1}{g_4g_5} + \frac{1}{g_5g_6} \right) s + \frac{1}{g_2g_3g_4g_5} + \frac{1}{g_2g_3g_5g_6} + \frac{1}{g_3g_4g_5g_6} \\ q(s) &= g_1s^3 + \left( \frac{1}{g_2} + \frac{g_1}{g_2g_3} + \frac{g_1}{g_3g_4} + \frac{g_1}{g_4g_5} + \frac{g_1}{g_5g_6} \right) s^2 + \left( \frac{1}{g_2g_3g_4} + \frac{1}{g_2g_4g_5} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{g_1}{g_2g_3g_4g_5} + \frac{1}{g_2g_5g_6} + \frac{g_1}{g_2g_3g_5g_6} + \frac{g_1}{g_3g_4g_5g_6} \right) s + \frac{1}{g_2g_3g_4g_5g_6} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{p(s)}{q(s)} = \frac{1}{q(s)/p(s)} = \frac{1}{g_1s + \frac{p_2(s)}{p(s)}} = \frac{1}{g_1s + \frac{1}{p(s)/p_2(s)}}$$

where  $p_2(s) = \frac{s^2}{g_2} + \left( \frac{1}{g_2g_3g_4} + \frac{1}{g_2g_4g_5} + \frac{1}{g_2g_5g_6} \right) s + \frac{1}{g_2g_3g_4g_5g_6}$

$$\frac{p(s)}{p_2(s)} = \frac{1}{g_2 + \frac{p_3(s)}{p_2(s)}} = \frac{1}{g_2 + \frac{1}{p_2(s)/p_3(s)}}, \text{ where } p_3(s) = \frac{s}{g_2g_3} + \frac{1}{g_2g_3g_4g_5} + \frac{1}{g_2g_3g_5g_6}$$

$$\frac{p_2(s)}{p_3(s)} = g_3s + \frac{\frac{s}{g_2g_3} + \frac{1}{g_2g_3g_5g_6} + \frac{1}{g_2g_3g_4g_5}}{g_4} - \frac{1}{g_2g_3g_4g_5} =: g_3s + \frac{p_4(s)}{p_3(s)} = g_3s + \frac{1}{p_3(s)/p_4(s)}$$

$$\frac{p_3(s)}{p_4(s)} = g_4 + \frac{1}{g_2g_3g_4g_5} = g_4 + \frac{1}{g_5s + \frac{1}{g_6}}$$

It's easy to check that  $(Ab, c) \in \mathcal{S}_{n,1,1}^{\text{contra.Obs}}$  so the realization is minimal. And the given sequence can be realized by the above realization with  $g_i = 1$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, 6$