Skiss of the solutions to Exam 2023-01-10

- 1. (i) If S is a convex set, the intersection of S with a line is convex, since the intersection of two convex sets is convex. Conversely, suppose the intersection of S with any line is convex. Take any two distinct points x_1 and x_2 in S. The intersection of S with the line through x_1 and x_2 is convex. Therefore convex combinations of x_1 and x_2 belong to the intersection, thus also to S.
 - (ii) See Solutions manual for BSS Problem 2.53. However S is not a polyhedron. And its extreme points are the set ∂S .
 - (iii) Since $\langle y, x \rangle$ is a convex function for $y \in X$, $S_X(x)$ is convex by the property of suprium of convex functions.
 - (iv) Obviously $S_C(x) = S_D(x)$ if C = D. Next we show $D \subseteq C$. Suppose there is a point y in $D, z \notin C$. Since C is closed, y can be strictly separated from C. In other words, there exists an $x \neq 0$ with $\langle x, y \rangle > b$ and $\langle x, z \rangle < b, \forall z \in C$, implying

$$\sup_{z \in C} \langle x, z \rangle \le b < \langle x, y \rangle \le \sup_{z \in D} \langle x, z \rangle,$$

which implies that $S_C(x) \neq S_D(x)$. The proof of the other direction is similar.

- (v) See Example 1 in lecture notes Day 13.
- 2. See BSS Example 4.2.10.
- 3. Note there are several ways to find a dual problem. We provide one here. Let $y_i = A_i x + b_i$. Then we have an equality constrained problem. The Lagrange function

$$L(x,\lambda_1,...,\lambda_N) = \sum_{i=1}^N \|y_i\|_2 + \frac{1}{2}\|x - x_0\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^t (A_i x + b_i - y_i)$$

is to be minimized over x and y_i , i = 1, ..., N. Clearly this minimization problem can be split to minimizing over x and y_i separately. ¿For fixed i we find

$$\inf_{y_i} (\|y_i\|_2 + \lambda_i^t y_i) = \begin{cases} 0 & \|\lambda_i\|_2 \le 1\\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

whose reasoning is as follows: if $\|\lambda_i\|_2 \leq 1$, which together with the Cauchy-Schwarz, yields that $\|y_i\|_2 + \lambda_i^t y_i \geq 0$ So the minimum is reached at $y_i = 0$. If $\|\lambda_i\|_2 > 1$, we see that the function is unbounded since $y_i = -t\lambda_i$ tends to $-\infty$ as $t \to \infty$.

Notice that the function $\frac{1}{2} \|x - x_0\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^t A_i x$ is a convex function, the necessary and sufficient for optimality is its gradient equal to 0 which is $x = x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^N A_i^t \lambda_i$. Evaluating the Lagrange function at the optimal just found we get the dual objective function

$$\phi(\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_N) = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^N (A_i x_0 + b_i)^t \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \| \sum_{i=1}^N A_i^t \lambda_i \|_2^2 & \|\lambda_i\|_2 \le 1, i = 1, ..., N \\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

So the dual problem is

maximize
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (A_i x_0 + b_i)^t \lambda_i - \frac{1}{2} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{N} A_i^t \lambda_i \right\|_2^2$$
subject to $\|\lambda_i\|_2 \le 1, i = 1, ..., N.$

 $13\,\mathrm{p}$

13 p

 $13\,\mathrm{p}$

- 4. See Solutions Manual to BSS Exercise 4.10
- 5. It is not an LP problem but a convex program problem because it is a maximum of function convections, and is equivalent to the LP: Minimize t subject to $a_i^t x + b_i \leq t, i = 1, ..., m$.

You have finished the exam if your homework $p_h \ge 24$. Continue otherwise.

6. Let a_i^t be the rows of the matrix A and introduce y = Ax + b. Then (GP) is equivalent to

minimize
$$\log\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{y_i}\right)$$

subject to $y = Ax + b$

Now we determine the dual objective function:

$$g(\lambda) = \min_{x,y} \left(\log\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{y_i}\right) + \lambda^t (Ax + b - y) \right) = b^t \lambda + \min_x \lambda^t Ax + \min_y \left(\log\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{y_i}\right) - \lambda^t y \right)$$

Now $\min_x \lambda^t A x = \begin{cases} 0 & A^t \lambda = 0 \\ -\infty & \text{otherewise} \end{cases}$.

Note that $\log \sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{y_i}$ is a convex function (prove it!) and so is $\log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{y_i}\right) - \lambda^t y$. Then the equations

$$\frac{e^{y_k}}{\sum_{i=1}^m e^{y_i}} = \lambda_k, k = 1, ..., m$$

is necessary and sufficient for optimality. Note also that these equations are solvable if and only if $\lambda \geq 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i = 1$. (Prove it!) By substituting the expression of λ_k into $\log (\sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{y_i}) - \lambda^t y$ we get

$$\min_{y} \left(\log \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} e^{y_i} \right) - \lambda^t y \right) = \begin{cases} -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i \log \lambda_i & \lambda \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i = 1\\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Hence the dual objective function is

$$g(\lambda) = \begin{cases} b^t \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \log \lambda_i & A^t \lambda = 0, \lambda \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1\\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and thus the resulting dual problem is

maximize
$$b^t \lambda - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \log \lambda_i$$

subject to $A^t \lambda = 0, \lambda \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$

As shown in Problem 5 (PWL) is equivalent to the LP problem: Minimize t subject to $a_i^t x + b_i \le t$, i = 1, ..., m. Its dual is

maximize
$$b^t \lambda$$

subject to $A^t \lambda = 0, \lambda \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1$

which is identical to the dual of (PWL) which is obtained as follows. The dual objective function is, following the standard procedure,

$$\phi(\lambda) = \inf_{x,y} \left(\max_{i=1,\dots,m} y_i + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i (a_i^t x + b_i - y_i) \right).$$

13 p

 $12\,\mathrm{p}$

To simplify we first observe that the infimum over x is finite only if $\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i a_i = 0$. To minimize over y we note that

$$\inf_{y} \left(\max_{i} y_{i} - \lambda^{t} y \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \lambda \ge 0, \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} = 1\\ -\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

(Prove it!). So

$$\phi(\lambda) = \begin{cases} b^t \lambda & \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i a_i = 0, \lambda \ge 0, \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1\\ -\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence the resulting dual problem is

mazimize
$$b^t \lambda$$

subject to $A^t \lambda = 0, \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1, \lambda \ge 0.$

Assume now that λ^* is dual optimal for dual (GP), then λ^* is also feasible for the dual of (PWP), with objective value

$$b^t \lambda = p_{\mathrm{gp}}^* + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* \log \lambda_i^*$$

This yields

$$p_{\text{pwl}}^* \ge p_{\text{gp}} + \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^* \log \lambda_i^* \ge p_{\text{gp}}^* - \log m$$

The last estimate follows from

$$\inf_{\sum_i \lambda_i} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \log \lambda_i = -\log m.$$

On the other hand we also have

$$\max_{i}(a_{i}^{t}x+b_{i}) \leq \log \sum \exp(a_{i}^{t}x+b_{i}), \ \forall x.$$

Therefore $p_{\text{pwl}}^* \le p_{\text{gp}}^*$. Together with the lower bound we get $0 \le p_{\text{gp}}^* - p_{\text{pwl}}^* \le \log m$. 12 p

You have finished the exam if your homework $23 \ge p_h \ge 16$. Continue otherwise.

- 7. (i) It follows by the Jensen's inequality by taking logarithms on G(x).
 - (ii) First we show that G(x) is concave on \mathbb{R}^n_{++} .

A straightforward (a bit tricky) calculation gives the Hessian $\nabla^2 G(x)$ with components

$$\frac{\partial^2 G(x)}{\partial x_k^2} = -(n-1)\frac{G(x)}{n^2 x_k^2}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 G(x)}{\partial x_k \partial x_l} = \frac{G(x)}{n^2 x_k x_l}, \text{ for } k \neq l.$$

We want to show that this matrix is negative semi-definite. Take any $v \neq 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n we have

$$v^{t}\nabla^{2}G(x)v = -\frac{G(x)}{n^{2}}\left(n\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{v_{i}^{2}}{x_{i}^{2}} - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\frac{v_{i}}{x_{i}}\right)^{2}\right) \le 0.$$

The last inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for the vectors $a = (1, ..., 1)^t$ and $b = (\frac{v_1}{x_1}, ..., \frac{v_n}{x_n})^t$.

Since G(x) is concave and A(x) is convex on \mathbb{R}^n_{++} . Then A(x) - G(x) is a convex function thus its level set is a convex set, implying that $C = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n_{++} : G(x) \ge A(x)\}$ is convex. And this set is a cone since for any point $x \in C$ and any positive number α , $G(\alpha x) = \alpha G(x) \ge \alpha A(x) = A(\alpha x)$ and thus $\alpha x \in C$. You have finished the exam if your homework $15 \ge p_h \ge 8$. Continue otherwise.

8. (i) By adding constant term $\frac{1}{2} ||c||_2^2$ to the objective function we have an equivalent optimization problem: Minimize $\frac{1}{2} ||c + x||_2^2$ subject to Ax = 0. So the optimal solution is the projection of -c on to the null space of A, which is

$$x^* = -(I - A^t (AA^t)^{-1}A)c$$

(ii) By changing variable $y = Q^{1/2}(x - \bar{x})$ we can write the optimization problem as follows: Minimize $\frac{1}{2} ||y||_2^2 + (Q^{-1/2}c)^t y$ subject to $AQ^{-1/2}y = 0$. Now apply the result in the previous problem we get

$$y^* = -(I - Q^{-1/2}A^t (AQ^{-1}A^t)^{-1}AQ^{-1/2})Q^{-1/2}c$$

which gives

$$x^* = \bar{x} - Q^{-1}(c - A^t \lambda)$$

where $\lambda = (AQ^{-1}A^t)^{-1}AQ^{-1}c$.

12	р
----	---