- Simulating random variables
- Variance reduction techniques
- Simulating stochastic processes
- 4 Markov chain Monte Carlo methods

- Simulating random variables
- Variance reduction techniques
- Simulating stochastic processes
- Markov chain Monte Carlo methods

Simulating random variables

# Given a random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ with density function $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , we want to compute

$$\theta = \mathbb{E}[g(\mathbf{X})] = \int \int \cdots \int g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n,$$

for some n-dimensional function g.

Often it is not analytic possible to compute it exactly or to numerically approximate it. However, we can approximate it using simulation.

#### Monte Carlo simulation.

- Simulate r independent random vectors  $\mathbf{X}^{(i)} = (X_1^{(i)}, \dots, X_n^{(i)}), i = 1, \dots, r$ , having density  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .
- Compute  $Y_i = g(\mathbf{X}^{(i)})$ .
- SLLN:  $\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r Y_i}{r} = \mathbb{E}[Y_i] = \mathbb{E}[g(\mathbf{X})]$  a.s..

How to simulate random vectors having a specified joint distribution?



## Simulating a uniform random variable

- Number ten balls from 0 to 9, put them in a bag, and draw n balls, with replacement. The sequence of digits obtained represents the fractional part of a U(0,1) r.v. rounded off to the nearest  $\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^n$ .
- Digital computers use **pseudo random numbers**. Start with an initial value  $X_0$  and, for suitable choices of a, c, m, recursively compute the values

$$X_{n+1} = (aX_n + c) \text{ modulo } m, \qquad n \geq 0.$$

Each  $\frac{X_n}{m}$  approximates a U(0,1) and the sequence  $\left(\frac{X_n}{m}\right)_n$  seems generated from independent U(0,1) r.v.'s.

We assume we can simulate **random numbers**, i.e., independent U(0,1), and we present three methods for simulating continuous r.v.'s.



#### The inverse transformation method

• The inverse transformation method. Let  $U \sim U(0,1)$ . For any continuous distribution function F, the r.v.

$$X = F^{-1}(U) = \inf\{x \mid F(x) \ge U\}$$

has distribution function F.

 $\underline{\mathsf{Proof}}$ . Since F is monotone,

$$F_X(a) = \mathbb{P}(X \leq a) = \mathbb{P}(F^{-1}(U) \leq a) = \mathbb{P}(U \leq F(a)) = F(a).$$

Note that the definition in the book  $(F^{-1}(U) = x \text{ s.t. } F(x) = U)$  is not proper: if the density is zero on an interval, then the value of x is not unique.

Hence, when  $F^{-1}$  is computable, we can simulate X from F by simulating  $U \sim U(0,1)$  and then setting  $X = F^{-1}(U)$ .



## Example

Example 11.3: Simulating an exponential r.v..

Exponential r.v.'s have density  $F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ . Hence, if  $U \sim U(0,1)$ , then  $\frac{-\log(U)}{\lambda} \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$  and  $\frac{-c\log(U)}{\lambda} \sim \text{Exp}(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda})$ .

Note that the log function is not the cheapest function to work with in mathematical programs.

- The rejection method. Suppose that we can simulate a r.v. with density g(x). If  $\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} \le c$  for all x, then we can simulate a continuous r.v. X with density f(x).
  - **1** Simulate Y with density g and  $U \sim U(0,1)$ .
  - ② If  $U \leq \frac{f(Y)}{cg(Y)}$ , set X = Y, otherwise return to step 1.

Proof. For 
$$K = \mathbb{P}(U \leq \frac{f(Y)}{cg(Y)})$$
,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \le x) = \mathbb{P}\left(Y \le x \mid U \le \frac{f(Y)}{cg(Y)}\right) = \frac{\mathbb{P}\left(Y \le x, U \le \frac{f(Y)}{cg(Y)}\right)}{K}$$
$$= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{x} \mathbb{P}\left(U \le \frac{f(y)}{cg(y)}\right)g(y) \, dy}{K} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{x} \frac{f(y)}{c} \, dy}{K},$$

and letting  $x \to \infty$  shows that  $K = \frac{1}{c}$ .



$$K = \mathbb{P}\left(U \leq \frac{f(Y)}{cg(Y)}\right) = \frac{1}{c},$$

hence number of iterations is geometric with mean c.

• It is not necessary to simulate a new U(0,1) after rejection, but we can suitably modify the previous one, at the cost of some computation. Indeed, if Y is rejected, we can use

$$\frac{U-\frac{f(Y)}{cg(Y)}}{1-\frac{f(Y)}{cg(Y)}}=\frac{cUg(Y)-f(Y)}{cg(Y)-f(Y)}\sim U(0,1).$$

Cost of simulation vs. cost of computation.



## Examples

Simulating random variables 00000000000000

Example 11.4: simulating a beta random variable.

Example 11.5: simulating a normal random variable.

#### The hazard rate function

Consider a continuous positive r.v. X with distribution F and density f. The **hazard rate function**  $\lambda(t)$  is defined by

$$\lambda(t) = \frac{f(t)}{1 - F(t)}.$$

It represents the conditional probability density that a t-year-old item with lifetime X will fail. Indeed,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in (t, t + dt) | X > t) = \frac{\mathbb{P}(X \in (t, t + dt), X > t)}{\mathbb{P}(X > t)}$$

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X \in (t, t + dt))}{\mathbb{P}(X > t)} \approx \frac{f(t)dt}{1 - F(t)} = \lambda(t)dt.$$

#### The hazard rate method

- The hazard rate method. Given a bounded function  $\lambda(t)$  s.t.  $\int_0^\infty \lambda(t) dt = \infty$ , we can simulate a r.v. S having  $\lambda(t)$  as its hazard rate function.
  - **1** Simulate a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda$  s.t.  $\lambda(t) \leq \lambda$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .
  - **2** Accept an event that occurs at time t with probability  $\frac{\lambda(t)}{\lambda}$ .
  - 3 Set S to be the time of the first accepted event.

Simulate pairs of r.v.'s  $U_i \sim U(0,1), X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda), i \geq 1$ . Stop at

$$N = \min \left\{ n : U_n \le \frac{\lambda(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)}{\lambda} \right\}$$

and set  $S = \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$ .

From Wald's equation,  $\mathbb{E}[S] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i\right] = \mathbb{E}[X_i]\mathbb{E}[N] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[N]}{N}$ , hence the expected number of iterations is  $\mathbb{E}[N] = \lambda \mathbb{E}[S]$ .

#### Proof.

Simulating random variables 00000000000000

$$\mathbb{P}(S \in (t, t + dt) | S > t)$$

- $= \mathbb{P}(\text{first accepted event in } (t, t + dt) | \text{no accepted events prior to } t)$
- $= \mathbb{P}(\text{accepted Poisson event in } (t, t + dt) \mid \text{no accepted events prior to } t)$
- =  $\mathbb{P}(\text{accepted Poisson event in } (t, t + dt))$

$$= (\lambda dt + o(dt)) \frac{\lambda(t)}{\lambda}$$

$$= \lambda(t)dt + o(dt).$$



# Special techniques for simulating continuous r.v.'s

Section 11.3: normal, gamma, chi-square, beta and exponential distributions.



The general methods for simulating from continuous distributions have analogues in the discrete case.

 Analogue of the inverse transformation method. In order to simulate a r.v. X having probability mass function

$$\mathbb{P}(X = x_j) = P_j, \ j = 1, 2, ..., \qquad \sum_j P_j = 1,$$

let  $U \in U(0,1)$  and set

$$X = \begin{cases} x_1, & \text{if } U < P_1, \\ x_2, & \text{if } P_1 < U < P_1 + P_2, \\ \vdots \\ x_j, & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} P_i < U < \sum_{i=1}^{j} P_i, \\ \vdots \end{cases}$$

Note that 
$$\mathbb{P}(X=x_j)=\mathbb{P}(\sum_{i=1}^{j-1}P_i < U < \sum_{i=1}^{j}P_i)=P_j$$
.

## Example

Example 11.9: simulating a Poisson r.v..

#### Index

- Simulating random variables
- Variance reduction techniques
- Simulating stochastic processes
- Markov chain Monte Carlo methods

#### Given a random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ with density $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and some n-dimensional function g, we want to compute

$$\theta = \mathbb{E}[g(\mathbf{X})] = \int \int \cdots \int g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) f(x_1, \ldots, x_n) dx_1 \cdots dx_n.$$

#### Monte Carlo simulation.

- Simulate *r* independent random vectors  $\mathbf{X}^{(i)} = (X_1^{(i)}, \dots, X_n^{(i)})$ .  $i=1,\ldots,r$ , having density  $f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ .
- Compute  $Y_i = g(\mathbf{X}^{(i)})$ .
- SLLN:  $\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r Y_i}{r} = \mathbb{E}[Y_i] = \mathbb{E}[g(\mathbf{X})]$  a.s..

Let  $\bar{Y} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{r} Y_i}{r}$ . To know how fast the convergence is, we need **control** on the variance

$$\operatorname{Var}(\bar{Y}) = \mathbb{E}[(\bar{Y} - \mathbb{E}[g(\mathbf{X})])^2],$$

and we will see three techniques for reducing it.

#### Use of antithetic variables

Example: Suppose we have generated  $Y_1, Y_2$ , identically distributed. If they are independent, then  $\mathrm{Var}\big(\frac{Y_1+Y_2}{2}\big)=\frac{\mathrm{Var}(Y_1)}{2}$ . However, if they are dependent and negatively correlated, i.e.,  $\mathrm{Cov}\big(Y_1,Y_2\big)\leq 0$ , then the variance is reduced. Indeed,

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{Y_{1} + Y_{2}}{2}\right) = \frac{\operatorname{Var}(Y_{1}) + \operatorname{Var}(Y_{2}) + 2\operatorname{Cov}(Y_{1}, Y_{2})}{4} \\
= \frac{\operatorname{Var}(Y_{1})}{2} + \frac{\operatorname{Cov}(Y_{1}, Y_{2})}{2} \le \frac{\operatorname{Var}(Y_{1})}{2}.$$

When simulating via the inverse transformation method  $(X_i = F_i^{-1}(U_i))$  with  $U_i \sim U(0,1)$ , for  $i=1,\ldots,n$ , we can use the following technique.

• Use of antithetic variables. If  $U \sim U(0,1)$ , then  $1-U \sim U(0,1)$  and they are negatively correlated. Hence, rather than generating r sets of n variables U(0,1), we should generate r/2 sets and use each set twice.



# If $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ are independent, then, for any increasing functions f and g of n variables.

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{X})g(\mathbf{X})] \geq \mathbb{E}[f(\mathbf{X})]\mathbb{E}[g(\mathbf{X})].$$

<u>Proof.</u> Proof by induction on n. For n=1, for any i.i.d. r.v.'s X and Y, we have that  $(f(X)-f(Y))(g(X)-g(Y))\geq 0$  and

$$0 \le \mathbb{E}[(f(X) - f(Y))(g(X) - g(Y))]$$
  
=  $\mathbb{E}[f(X)g(X) + f(Y)g(Y) - f(X)g(Y) - f(Y)g(X)]$   
=  $2\mathbb{E}[f(X)g(X)] - 2\mathbb{E}[f(X)]\mathbb{E}[g(X)].$ 

For larger n, see the book.



#### Corollary

If  $U_1, \ldots, U_n$  are independent, and h is either an increasing or decreasing function, then

$$\operatorname{Cov}(h(U_1,\ldots,U_n),h(1-U_1,\ldots,1-U_n))\leq 0.$$

<u>Proof.</u> If h is increasing, let  $g(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = -h(1 - x_1, \ldots, 1 - x_n)$ , and if h is decreasing, replace it with its negative.

When simulating via the inverse transformation method  $(X_i = F_i^{-1}(U_i))$ , since  $F_i^{-1}(U_i)$  is increasing in  $U_i$ , we have that  $g(F_1^{-1}(U_1), \ldots, F_n^{-1}(U_n))$  is monotone whenever g is monotone. Hence, the **antithetic variable approach of twice using each set** of  $U_1, \ldots, U_n$  by computing

$$g(F_1^{-1}(U_1), \cdots, F_n^{-1}(U_n))$$
 and  $g(F_1^{-1}(1-U_1), \cdots, F_n^{-1}(1-U_n))$ 

(which are identically distributed and negatively correlated) will reduce the variance of the estimate of  $\theta = \mathbb{E}[g(X_1, \dots, X_n)]$ .



## Variance reduction by conditioning

Recall the conditional variance formula for r.v.'s Y and Z

$$\operatorname{Var}(Y) = \mathbb{E}[\operatorname{Var}(Y \mid Z)] + \operatorname{Var}(\mathbb{E}[Y \mid Z]) \ge \operatorname{Var}(\mathbb{E}[Y \mid Z]).$$

• Variance reduction by conditioning. If we can compute  $\mathbb{E}[Y | Z]$  for some cleverly chosen r.v. Z, then  $\mathbb{E}[Y | Z]$  is a better estimator of  $\mathbb{E}(Y)$  than is Y.

Moreover, for any  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  s.t.  $\sum_i \lambda_i = 1$ , and for a sequence of r.v.'s  $Z_i, i \geq 1$ , we have that

$$\mathbb{E}\bigg[\sum_i \lambda_i \mathbb{E}[Y \,|\, Z_i]\bigg] = \mathbb{E}[Y]$$

and

$$\operatorname{Var}\left(\sum_{i}\lambda_{i}\mathbb{E}[Y\,|\,Z_{i}]\right)\leq \operatorname{Var}(Y).$$



# Examples

Example 11.16: queueing system with capacity.

Example 11.18: estimating the renewal function.

## Importance sampling

Suppose we want to estimate  $\theta = \mathbb{E}[h(\mathbf{X})] = \int h(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$ , but simulating  $\mathbf{X}$  with density f is difficult or  $\operatorname{Var}(h(\mathbf{X}))$  is large.

• Importance sampling. Let g be another density s.t.  $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$  if  $g(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ , and  $\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{h(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x})}{g(\mathbf{x})}\right)$  is small. Simulate  $\mathbf{X}$  from g and let

$$\theta = \mathbb{E}[h(\mathbf{X})] = \int \frac{h(\mathbf{x})f(\mathbf{x})}{g(\mathbf{x})}g(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{h(\mathbf{X})f(\mathbf{X})}{g(\mathbf{X})}\right].$$

Intuition: Since **X** has density  $g(\mathbf{X})$ , the ratio  $\frac{f(\mathbf{X})}{g(\mathbf{X})}$  is usually small in comparison to 1. However, since  $\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{f(\mathbf{X})}{g(\mathbf{X})}\right] = 1$ ,  $\frac{f(\mathbf{X})}{g(\mathbf{X})}$  is occasionally large and  $\operatorname{Var}\left(\frac{f(\mathbf{X})}{g(\mathbf{X})}\right)$  will tend to be large. We should choose g s.t. this ratio is large exactly when h is very small, so that  $\frac{h(\mathbf{X})f(\mathbf{X})}{g(\mathbf{X})}$  is always small.



# Let X be a r.v. with density f, and $M(t) = \mathbb{E}[e^{tX}] = \int e^{tx} f(x) dx$ be its moment generating function. The **tilted density** of X is defined as

$$f_t(x) = \frac{e^{tx} f(x)}{M(t)}.$$

#### Example 11.22:

- If  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$ , then, for  $t \leq \lambda$ ,  $f_t(x)$  is an exponential density with rate  $\lambda t$ .
- If  $X \sim \mathrm{Ber}(p)$ , then  $f_t(x)$  is the probability mass function of a Bernoulli r.v. with parameter  $p_t = \frac{pe^t}{pe^t + 1 p}$ .

For the importance sampling estimator, we can use  $g = f_t$  for an appropriate choice of t.



### Sum of independent random variables

If  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots X_n)$  is a vector of independent random variables with densities  $f_i$ , for i = 1, ..., n, then the joint density function is

$$f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=\prod_{i=1}^n f_i(x_i).$$

It is useful to simulate the  $X_i$ 's according to their  $f_{i,t}$  with a common t.

Example 11.23: sum of independent r.v.'s. For  $S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$  and  $a>\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right]$ , we want to approximate  $\theta=\mathbb{P}(S\geq a)=\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}_{\{S\geq a\}}]$ . At each iteration, estimate

$$\hat{\theta} = \mathbb{1}_{\{S \ge a\}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{f_i(X_i)}{f_{i,t}(X_i)} = \mathbb{1}_{\{S \ge a\}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} M_i(t) e^{-tX_i}$$
$$= \mathbb{1}_{\{S \ge a\}} M(t) e^{-tS} < M(t) e^{-ta},$$

and choose t that minimizes  $M(t)e^{-ta}$ . It can be shown that the optimal  $t=t^*$  is such that  $\mathbb{E}[S]=a$  when the  $X_i$ 's are simulated from  $f_{i,t^*}$ .

- Simulating random variables
- Variance reduction techniques
- 3 Simulating stochastic processes
- Markov chain Monte Carlo methods

## Simulating stochastic processes

So far, we have seen how to simulate r.v.'s and random vectors. We can easily **simulate a stochastic process** by simulating a sequence of r.v.'s (not creative but often effective).

Example: simulating a renewal process.

- **1** Given an interarrival distribution F, simulate i.i.d. r.v.'s  $X_1, X_2, \ldots$  with distribution F.
- **2** Stop at  $N = \min\{n : \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i > t\}$
- **3** The  $X_i$ 's represents the interarrival times and the simulation yields N-1 events by time t.

## Simulating Poisson processes

Suppose that we want to **simulate a Poisson process** with rate  $\lambda$  until time t.

- Simulate the sequence of exponentially distributed arrival times.
- Another approach:
  - **1** Simulate  $N(t) \sim Po(\lambda t)$ , the number of events by time t.
  - ② If N(t) = n, simulate  $n \ U(0,1)$  r.v.'s.
  - **③** To order them, rather than ordering a single list, create n random lists and put U in list i if  $\frac{i-1}{n} \leq U < \frac{i}{n}$ . Then order each list (quick) and obtain  $U_1 < \cdots < U_n$ .
  - **3** The values  $\{tU_1, \ldots, tU_n\}$  represent the ordered times at which the events occur.

**Nonhomogeneous Poisson processes** (where  $\lambda = \lambda(t)$ ) are usually not mathematically tractable, hence are strong candidates for simulations. We will present three methods.



## Sampling a Poisson process

**Sampling a Poisson process.** By simulating a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda \geq \lambda(t)$  for all  $t \leq T$ , and then randomly counting its events with probability  $\frac{\lambda(t)}{\lambda}$  (thinning), we can simulate a nonhomogeneous Poisson process with intensity function  $\lambda(t)$  up to time T.

#### Thinning algorithm:

- **1** Simulate independent r.v.'s  $\{X_i \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)\}_i$  and  $\{U_i \sim U(0,1)\}_i$ .
- **2** Stop at  $N = \min\{n : \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i > T\}.$
- **4** The counting process having events at the set of times  $\{\sum_{i=1}^{j} X_i : j \in J\}$  is a nonhomogeneous Poisson process on [0, T] with intensity function  $\lambda(t)$ .

Most efficient if  $\lambda(t)$  is close to  $\lambda$  throughout the interval, since we would have the fewest number of rejected events.

Improve the thinning method by breaking up the inteval [0, T] into k subintervals  $\{I_i = [t_{i-1}, t_i), i = 1, \dots, k\}$ , with  $t_0 = 0, t_k = T$ , on which we sample Poisson processes using  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k$  s.t.  $\lambda(t) < \lambda_i$  for  $t \in I_i$ .

In the algorithm, t is the present time and I is the present interval.

- Start with t=0 and l=1.
- 2 Simulate  $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_I)$ .
- § If  $t + X < t_I$ , set  $t \to t + X$ , simulate  $U \sim U(0,1)$  and accept the event time t if  $U \leq \frac{\lambda(t)}{\lambda_t}$ . Return to step 2.
- If  $t + X \ge t_I$ , stop if I = k, or set  $X \to \frac{(X (t_i + t))\lambda_I}{\lambda_{i+1}} \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda_{I+1})$ ,  $t \to t_I$ ,  $I \to I + 1$ , and go to step 3.

If on the subinterval  $I_i$  we have that  $\lambda_i = \min\{\lambda(s) : s \in I_i\} > 0$ , then it is better to first simulate a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda_i$ , then simulate a nonhomogeneous Poisson process with intensity function  $\lambda(s) - \lambda_i$ , and merge the two processes.



#### Conditional distribution of the arrival times

For a nonhomogeneous Poisson process on [0, T], given N(T), the event times are i.i.d. with conditional distribution

$$F(t) = \frac{\int_0^t \lambda(s)}{m(T)} = \frac{\int_0^t \lambda(s)}{\int_0^T \lambda(s) ds}, \quad t \in (0, T).$$

Since  $N(T) \sim Po(m(T))$ , we can simulate the nonhomogeneous Poisson process by first simulating N(T) and then simulating N(T) r.v.'s from their common density function  $f(t) = \frac{\lambda(t)}{m(T)}$ .

Example 11.12:  $\lambda(t) = ct$ .



## Simulating the event times

The most basic approach is to **simulate the event times** in the order in which they occur.

If an event occurs at time x, then, independently of what has occurred prior to x, the time until the next event has distribution  $F_x$  s.t.

$$1 - F_x(t) = \mathbb{P}(\text{no events in } (x, x + t) | \text{ event at } x)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(\text{no events in } (x, x + t))$$

$$= e^{-\int_x^t \lambda(s) \, ds},$$

and density

$$f_x(t) = \lambda(x+t)e^{-\int_0^t \lambda(x+s) ds}.$$

Simulate  $X_1$  from  $F_0$ . If  $X_1 = x_1$ , simulate  $X_2$  by adding  $x_1$  to a value simulated from  $F_{x_1}$ . If  $X_2 = x_2$ , simulate  $X_3$  by adding  $x_2$  to a value simulated from  $F_{x_2}$ , and so on.

Example 11.13: 
$$\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{t+a}$$
.



#### Index

- Simulating random variables
- Variance reduction techniques
- Simulating stochastic processes
- Markov chain Monte Carlo methods

#### Markov chain Monte Carlo methods

Let **X** be a discrete random vector taking values  $\mathbf{x}_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$ , and with probability mass function  $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}_i)$ , for  $i \geq 1$ . For a given h, we want to compute

$$\theta = \mathbb{E}[h(\mathbf{X})] = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} h(\mathbf{x}_i) \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}_i).$$

- Monte Carlo simulation. Use U(0,1) r.v.'s to simulate i.i.d.
   X<sub>1</sub>,..., X<sub>r</sub> with mass function P(X = x<sub>i</sub>) for i ≥ 1. From the SLLN, θ = lim<sub>r→∞</sub> ∑<sub>i=1</sub><sup>r</sup> h(X<sub>i</sub>) / r a.s..
   Difficult to simulate the X<sub>i</sub>'s, especially if they are vectors of dependent r.v.'s. Moreover, often P(X = x<sub>i</sub>) = Cb<sub>i</sub>, i ≥ 1, with only the b<sub>i</sub>'s specified, and it is computationally hard to compute C.
- Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method. Simulate a sequence of the successive states of a (vector-valued) Markov chain  $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \ldots$  whose stationary distribution is  $\pi$  with  $\pi_i = \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{x}_i)$  for  $i \geq 1$ . Then  $\theta = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^r h(\mathbf{X}_i)}{r}$ .

For  $b_i>0$  for  $i\geq 1$  and  $B=\sum_i b_i<\infty$ , we want to generate a Markov chain with stationary probabilities  $\pi_i=\frac{b_i}{B}$  for  $i\geq 1$ . In particular, we want to allow arbitrary stationary distributions that may only be specified up to a multiplicative constant.

**Metropolis-Hastings algorithm** to define a Markov chain with state space  $\{X_n, n \ge 0\}$ .

- **1** Let Q be any irreducible transition matrix with entries q(i,j).
- When  $X_n = i$ , simulate a r.v. Y s.t.  $\mathbb{P}(Y = j) = q(i, j), j \ge 1$ . If Y = j, then set  $X_{n+1} = \begin{cases} j, & \text{w.p. } \alpha(i, j) \\ i, & \text{w.p. } 1 \alpha(i, j). \end{cases}$

• The Markov chain has transition probabilities  $P_{i,j}$  given by

$$P_{i,j} = q(i,j)\alpha(i,j), \quad \text{if } j \neq i,$$

$$P_{i,i} = q(i,i) + \sum_{k \neq i} q(i,k)(1 - \alpha(i,k)).$$

• The Markov chain has stationary probabilities  $\pi_i$  if it satisfies the balance equations for  $j \neq i$ 

$$\pi_i P_{i,j} = \pi_j P_{j,i}$$
  
$$\pi_i q(i,j) \alpha(i,j) = \pi_j q(j,i) \alpha(j,i),$$

which are solved by taking  $\pi_i = \frac{b_i}{B}$  and  $\alpha(i,j) = \min\left(\frac{\pi_j q(j,i)}{\pi_i q(i,j)}, 1\right)$ .

- Since  $\alpha(i,j) = \min\left(\frac{\pi_j q(j,i)}{\pi_i q(i,j)}, 1\right) = \min\left(\frac{b_j q(j,i)}{b_i q(i,j)}, 1\right)$ , the value of B is not needed to define the Markov chain.
- Almost always the stationary probabilities  $\pi_i$ 's are also limiting probabilities (a sufficient condition is  $P_{i,i} > 0$  for some i).



## Gibbs sampling

We want to simulate a discrete random vector  $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$  with probability mass function  $p(\mathbf{x}) = Cg(\mathbf{x})$ , where g is known and C is not.

Gibbs sampling to define a vector-valued Markov chain.

- **1** When in state  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , choose u.a.r. one coordinate, say the *i*-th coordinate.
- ② Simulate a r.v. X with mass  $\mathbb{P}(X = x) = \mathbb{P}(X_i = x \mid X_j = x_j, j \neq i)$  (assume we can). If X = x, then consider as the candidate next state  $\mathbf{y} = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$ .
- 3 Use the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm with

$$q(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{P}(X_i = x \mid X_j = x_j, j \neq i) = \frac{p(\mathbf{y})}{n \mathbb{P}(X_j = x_j, j \neq i)}.$$

 $oldsymbol{\Phi}$  The candidate state  $oldsymbol{y}$  is accepted with probability

$$\alpha(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \min\left(\frac{p(\mathbf{y})q(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{x})q(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})}, 1\right) = \min\left(\frac{p(\mathbf{y})p(\mathbf{x})}{p(\mathbf{x})p(\mathbf{y})}, 1\right) = 1,$$

hence it is always accepted.

## The Ising model

- The Ising model is the simplest model of ferromagnetism, which
  arises when atomic spins align s.t. their magnetic moments all point
  in the same direction, yielding a macroscopic net magnetic moment.
- Consider discrete r.v.'s with spins +1 or -1 and a state space  $\{-1,1\}^V$ , where V is a large part of a lattice.
- $\bullet$  The spins interact with their neighbors: spins that agree have a lower energy than spins that disagree. The energy of a state  $\sigma$  is given by the Hamiltonian

$$H(\sigma) = \sum_{v \sim w} \mathbb{1}_{\{\sigma(v) \neq \sigma(w)\}}, \quad \sigma \in \{-1, 1\}^V, v, w \in V,$$

and its probability by  $\pi_{\sigma} = C_{\beta}e^{-\beta H(\sigma)}$ , where  $\beta > 0$  is a constant (inverse temperature) and  $C_{\beta}$  is a normalizing constant.

• The system tends to the lowest energy, but heat can disturb this tendency and create the possibility of different structural phases (phase transitions).



## Gibbs sampling applied to the Ising model

Since  $C_{\beta}$  is hard to compute, direct sampling from the distribution  $\pi$  is hard. We can then **apply Gibbs sampling** to define a Markov chain on

$$\left\{X^{(k)} \in \{-1,1\}^V, k \geq 0\right\}.$$

- **1** Start in  $X^{(0)}$  with all -1 or all 1. When in state  $X^{(k)}$ , choose u.a.r. one element, say the *i*-th element  $X_i^{(k)}$ .
- ② The next state  $X^{(k+1)}$  is s.t.  $X_j^{(k+1)} = X_j^{(k)}$  for all  $j \neq i$  and  $X_i^{(k+1)}$  is a simulated r.v. with mass  $\mathbb{P}\left(X_i^{(k+1)} = x \,|\, X_j^{(k)} = x_j, j \neq i\right)$ . In particular, for the 1-dim Ising model,

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_i^{(k+1)} = 1 \mid X_{i-1}^{(k)} + X_{i+1}^{(k)} = 0\right) = \frac{1}{2},$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_i^{(k+1)} = 1 \mid X_{i-1}^{(k)} = X_{i+1}^{(k)} = 1\right) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-2\beta}},$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left(X_i^{(k+1)} = 1 \mid X_{i-1}^{(k)} = X_{i+1}^{(k)} = -1\right) = \frac{e^{-2\beta}}{1 + e^{-2\beta}}.$$

#### **Exercises**

<u>Session 8</u>. Chapter 11: 1, 5, 7, 8, 13, 30-33. For 8 use Stirling's formula.

<u>Session 9</u>. Chapter 11: 17, 23, 24. For 23 assume that the intensity is strictly positive everywhere.