

- You may use the text (Dummit and Foote).
 - You may **not** use class notes and/or any notes and study guides you have created.
 - You may **not** use a calculator, a cell phone or computer.
 - You may quote results that are proved in the book. When you do, state precisely the result that you are using, or give a precise pointer to the book.
 - Be sure to justify your answers, and show clearly all steps of your solutions.
 - In problems with multiple parts, results of earlier parts can be used in the solution of later parts, even if you do not solve the earlier parts
-

1. Let S_5 be the group of permutations of the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$. Let $H \subset S_5$ be the subset consisting of permutations σ that satisfy $\sigma(3) = 3$.

(a) (2 points) Prove that H is a subgroup of S_5 .

Solution: H is the stabilizer of 3. The stabilizer of an element under a group action is always a subgroup. See Dummit & Foote, page 51.

(b) (1 point) Find the number of elements in H .

Solution: It is clear that the action of Σ_5 on $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ is transitive. By the orbit-stabilizer theorem $[\Sigma_5 : H] = 5$. It follows that $|H| = \frac{5!}{5} = 24$.

Alternatively, it is easy to prove directly that H is isomorphic to S_4 , which has 24 elements.

(c) (2 points) Is H a normal subgroup of S_5 ?

Solution: No. For example $(1, 2) \in H$, but $(2, 3)(1, 2)(2, 3)^{-1} = (1, 3) \notin H$.

2. (a) (2 points) Let G be a finite group and let \mathbb{Z} denote the additive group of integers. Prove that there are no non-trivial homomorphisms from G to \mathbb{Z} .

Solution: Suppose $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is a homomorphism. Let $x \in G$. Since G is finite, there exists a positive integer n such that $x^n = e_G$. But then $f(x^n) = nf(x) = 0$. Here I am using multiplicative notation for the group operation in G , but additive notation for \mathbb{Z} . It follows that $f(x)$ is an integer satisfying $nf(x) = 0$ for some $n > 0$. This means that $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in G$, so f is trivial.

(b) (2 points) How many group homomorphisms are there from $\mathbb{Z}/12$ to $\mathbb{Z}/15$?

Solution: Three homomorphisms. The set of homomorphisms is in bijective correspondence with the set of elements $x \in \mathbb{Z}/15$ that satisfy $12x \equiv 0 \pmod{15}$. There are three such elements: 0, 5, and 10.

In general, the number of homomorphism from \mathbb{Z}/m to \mathbb{Z}/n is $\gcd(m, n)$.

3. Let p be a prime.

(a) (2 points) Suppose G is any group and $N \triangleleft G$ is a normal subgroup of **index** p . Let $K \subset G$ be any subgroup. Prove that either $K \subset N$ or $KN = G$.

Solution: Suppose K is not a subset of N . We will prove that $KN = G$. Clearly, $N \subsetneq KN \subseteq G$. Since N is normal, it follows that KN is a subgroup of G . It follows that $[G : KN]$ is a divisor of $[G : N]$, and $[G : KN] < [G : N]$. But $[G : N]$ is a prime. It follows that $[G : KN] = 1$, which means that $KN = G$.

- (b) (3 points) Suppose P is a p -group and $N \triangleleft P$ is a normal subgroup of **order** p . Prove that $N \subset Z(P)$, i.e., N is in the center of P .

Solution: Since N is normal in P , P acts on N by conjugation. Since P is a p group, the number of elements of N that are fixed by the action is congruent modulo p to the total number of elements of N . The total number of elements of N is p . It follows that the number of elements of N that are fixed by the action is either zero or p . The trivial element is fixed by the conjugation, so the fixed point set has at least one element. Therefore it has p elements. This means that all of N is fixed by the conjugation action. In other words, for every $n \in N$ and $p \in P$, $p^{-1}np = n$, or $np = pn$. This means that N is in the center of P .

4. (a) (3 points) Prove that every group of order 1225 is abelian. For your convenience: $1225 = 5^2 \cdot 7^2$.

Solution: Let G be a group of order 1225. By Sylow theorem $n_5 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ and $n_5 | 49$. It follows that $n_5 = 1$. Similarly, $n_7 = 1$. It follows that the 5-Sylow and the 7-Sylow subgroups of G are normal. Let us denote the Sylow subgroups by P_5 and P_7 . These are groups of order p^2 where p is 5 or 7, and therefore they are abelian (page 125, Corollary 9).

There is a group homomorphism $G \rightarrow G/P_5 \times G/P_7$, whose kernel is $P_5 \cap P_7 = \{e\}$. Since the kernel is trivial, this is a monomorphism, and by counting elements it is an isomorphism. A similar argument shows that the compositions $P_5 \hookrightarrow G \rightarrow G/P_7$ and $P_7 \hookrightarrow G \rightarrow G/P_5$ are isomorphisms. It follows that G is isomorphic to $P_5 \times P_7$. So G is a product of abelian groups, and therefore is abelian.

- (b) (3 points) Prove that a group of order 224 can not be simple. For your convenience: $224 = 32 \cdot 7$.

Solution: Suppose G is a group of order 224. It follows from the Sylow theorems that $n_2 = 1$ or 7. If $n_2 = 1$ then G has a normal 2-Sylow subgroup, and is therefore not simple. Suppose $n_2 = 7$. Then the action of G on the set of 2-Sylow subgroups induces a non-trivial homomorphism $G \rightarrow S_7$. If G is simple, then this homomorphism has to be injective, but this would imply that $224 | 7!$, which is false. So G can not be simple.

5. (3 points) Let \mathbb{F} be a field.

- (a) (2 points) Prove that there is an isomorphism of rings $\mathbb{F}[x, y]/(x - y^2) \cong \mathbb{F}[z]$.

Solution: There is a ring homomorphism $f: \mathbb{F}[x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[z]$, determined by the conditions $f(1) = 1$, $f(y) = z$, $f(x) = z^2$. Clearly $f(x - y^2) = 0$, so $(x - y^2) \subset \ker(f)$. It follows that f passes to a ring homomorphism $\bar{f}: \mathbb{F}[x, y]/(x - y^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[z]$.

There also is a ring homomorphism $g: \mathbb{F}[z] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[x, y]$ determined by the conditions $g(1) = 1$ and $g(z) = y$. Composing with the quotient homomorphism $\mathbb{F}[x, y] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[x, y]/(x - y^2)$ we obtain a ring homomorphism $\bar{g}: \mathbb{F}[z] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}[x, y]/(x - y^2)$.

We will prove that \bar{f} and \bar{g} are isomorphisms, by proving that they are inverse of each other.

To begin with $\bar{f}(\bar{g}(1)) = 1$ and $\bar{f}(\bar{g}(z)) = f(y) = z$. It follows that $\bar{f} \circ \bar{g}$ is the identity homomorphism on $\mathbb{F}[z]$.

For the other composition, let I be the ideal $(x - y^2)$. We have $\bar{g}(\bar{f}(1)) = 1$, and

$$\bar{g}(\bar{f}(x + I)) = \bar{g}(f(x)) = \bar{g}(z^2) = y^2 + I = x + I.$$

The last equality follows because $x - y^2 \in I$.

Furthermore, $\bar{g}(\bar{f}(y + I)) = \bar{g}(f(y)) = \bar{g}(z) = y + I$.

We have shown that $\bar{g} \circ \bar{f}$ is the identity on $1, x + I$ and on $y + I$. It follows that $\bar{g} \circ \bar{f}$ is the identity homomorphism on $\mathbb{F}[x, y]/I$.

- (b) (3 points) Prove that the rings $\mathbb{F}[x, y]/(x - y^2)$ and $\mathbb{F}[x, y]/(x^2 - y^2)$ are not isomorphic.

Solution: We proved in part (a) that $\mathbb{F}[x, y]/(x - y^2) \cong F[z]$, so it is an integral domain. On the other hand $\mathbb{F}[x, y]/(x^2 - y^2)$ is not an integral domain, because $x^2 - y^2 = (x - y)(x + y)$ is a reducible element, so the ideal generated by it is not a prime ideal.

6. Let R be a commutative ring with a unit. Suppose that I and J are co-maximal ideals of R .

- (a) (3 points) Prove that I and J^2 are co-maximal ideals.

Solution: It is enough to prove that 1 can be written as a sum of an element of I and an element of J^2 . Since I and J are comaximal, there exists $x \in I$ and $y \in J$ such that $1 = x + y$. But then $1 = x + y(x + y) = x(1 + y) + y^2$. But then $x(1 + y) \in I$, because I is an ideal, and $y^2 \in J^2$ by the definition of J^2 .

- (b) (2 points) Is the assumption that R has a unit necessary in part (a)? Justify your answer with either an argument or a counterexample.

Solution: Yes, it is necessary. For example consider the ring $2\mathbb{Z}$, consisting of even integers. This is a ring without unit. Let $I = (4)$ be the ideal of numbers divisible by 4 and $J = (6)$ the ideal of numbers divisible by 6. Then I and J are comaximal in $2\mathbb{Z}$, because $6 - 4 = 2$, and every even number can be written as a sum of a multiple of 6 and a multiple of 4. But I and $J^2 = (36)$ are not comaximal, because $I + J^2$ consists of numbers divisible by 4, rather than all even numbers.