

Solutions to the 2020-03-16 exam

Note. The following solutions do not include all computations. The computations do need to be included on the actual exam.

Question 1

- (a) The binary expansion of 840 is $2^3 + 2^6 + 2^8 + 2^9$. By successively squaring the number 93 modulo 197, we find the following table.

n	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
93^{2^n}	93	178	164	104	178	164	104	178	164	104

Hence $93^{840} = 93^{2^3} \cdot 93^{2^6} \cdot 93^{2^8} \cdot 93^{2^9} \equiv_{197} 104 \cdot 104 \cdot 164 \cdot 104 \equiv_{197} 1$.

Note. To simplify the computations, one could also note that 197 is prime and that $840 \equiv_{196} 56$, so that by Fermat's little theorem we have $93^{840} \equiv_{197} 93^{56}$.

- (b) Let g be a primitive of \mathbb{F}_p . Then $x = g^n$ is a solution to $x^e \equiv_p 1$ if and only if $p - 1$ divides $n \cdot e$. Let n_0 be the smallest n for which this holds. Since the order of g is $p - 1$, we see that $n_0 = \frac{p-1}{\gcd(e, p-1)}$ and that for any solution to $g^{ne} \equiv_p 1$, the number n is divisible by n_0 . In particular, the set of solutions modulo p is given by

$$\{g^{k \cdot n_0} \mid 0 \leq k \cdot n_0 < p - 1\}.$$

Since $\frac{p-1}{n_0} = \gcd(e, p-1)$, we see that $0 \leq k \cdot n_0 < p - 1$ holds if and only if $0 < k < \gcd(e, p-1)$, hence there are exactly $\gcd(e, p-1)$ solutions.

- (c) Since 2 is a primitive root, it has order 100 in \mathbb{F}_{101}^* . Since $32 = 2^5$, we see that 32 has order 20 in \mathbb{F}_{101}^* . In particular, if x is a solution to $32^x \equiv_{101} 14$, then all other solutions are of the form $x + 20k$ where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By naively computing powers of 32, we find that $32^2 \equiv_{101} 14$, hence the set of integer solutions to $32^x \equiv_{101} 14$ is given by

$$\{2 + 20k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Question 2

- (a) Let $N = 3233$ and choose $a = 2$ as a potential witness for the Miller-Rabin test. We first compute that $\gcd(a, N) = 1$. By repeatedly dividing $N - 1 = 3232$ by 2, we find that $3232 = 2^5 \cdot 101$. The next step is to compute $2^{101} \equiv_{3233} 2405$. Since this is not congruent to 1 modulo $N = 3233$, we compute the following table by successive squaring.

n	2405^{2^n}
0	2405
1	188
2	3014
3	2699
4	652

Since none of these numbers is congruent to -1 modulo 3233, we conclude that 3233 is a composite number and that $a = 2$ is a Miller-Rabin witness for 3233.

- (b) First note that $70 = 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$. We compute

$$\begin{aligned} 7^{5 \cdot 7} &\equiv_{71} 70, & 64^{5 \cdot 7} &\equiv_{71} 1 \\ 7^{2 \cdot 7} &\equiv_{71} 54, & 64^{2 \cdot 7} &\equiv_{71} 54 \\ 7^{2 \cdot 5} &\equiv_{71} 45, & 64^{2 \cdot 5} &\equiv_{71} 45. \end{aligned}$$

By the Pohlig-Hellman algorithm, in order to solve the DLP $7^x \equiv_{71} 64$, we should solve the following three DLPs:

$$\begin{aligned} 70^{x_2} &\equiv_{71} 1 \\ 54^{x_5} &\equiv_{71} 54 \\ 45^{x_7} &\equiv_{71} 45. \end{aligned}$$

As can be read off directly from these congruences, this yields $x_2 = 0$, $x_5 = 1$ and $x_7 = 1$. It therefore remains to solve the following system of congruences

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 0 & (\text{mod } 2), \\ x \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } 5), \\ x \equiv 1 & (\text{mod } 7). \end{cases}$$

An application of the Chinese remainder theorem yields the solution $x = 36$.

Question 3

- (a) Since $P \neq Q$, we first compute $\lambda = \frac{\Delta y}{\Delta x} = \frac{9-2}{7-8} = 6$ in \mathbb{F}_{13} . Then $P + Q$ is given by

$$P + Q = (x_3, y_3) = (\lambda^2 - x_1 - x_2, \lambda(x_1 - x_3) - y_1) = (8, 11).$$

- (b) First let us find a random point on E . Clearly, $(0, 1)$ lies on E over \mathbb{F}_{211} . Since this this elliptic curve has 202 points, we see that the order of $(0, 1)$ is a divisor of

202, so this point either has order 1, 2, 101 or 202. Since $(0, 1) \neq \mathcal{O}$ and since the y -coordinate of this point is nonzero, we see that it can't have order 1 or 2. This means that it either has order 101 or order 202. In either of these cases it follows that the point $(0, 1) + (0, 1) = (9, 183)$ has order 101.

- (c) In Lenstra's factorization algorithm, one computes $n!P$ for increasing values of n , and the algorithm finishes either when the computation of $n!P$ fails or when it becomes equal to \mathcal{O} . In case that the computation "fails", then this yields a number k such that $1 < \gcd(k, N) < N$, hence one has found a non-trivial factor of N . In the case that $n!P$ becomes equal to \mathcal{O} , then the algorithm finishes without giving a non-trivial factor of N . By the Chinese remainder theorem, the addition of two points P and Q on E modulo $N = pq$ fails precisely if $P + Q = \mathcal{O}$ over \mathbb{F}_p and $P + Q \neq \mathcal{O}$ over \mathbb{F}_q , or the other way around. In particular, the computation of $n!P$ on E modulo N fails if the order of P on $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ divides $n!$, while the order of P on $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ does not divide $n!$, or the other way around.

This means that in order to solve this exercise, we should check for which values of n the numbers 154, 410, 162, 405, 130 and 435 divide $n!$. One can compute that none of these numbers divides $8!$, while 162 and 405 divide $9!$. This implies that Lenstra's algorithm finishes first for the elliptic curve given by (A_2, a_2, b_2) , however that it does not give a non-trivial factor of N . Continuing these computations, one notices that 154, 410, 130 and 435 do not divide $10!$, but that 154 divides $11!$ while none of these other numbers do. This implies that the elliptic curve corresponding to (A_1, a_1, b_1) is the first one for which Lenstra's algorithm finishes and gives you a non-trivial factor.

Question 4

Write $x_i = g^{\alpha_i} h^{\beta_i}$ and $y_i = g^{\gamma_i} h^{\delta_i}$. Iteratively computing $f(x_i)$ and $f(f(y_i))$ produces the table

i	x_i	y_i	α_i	β_i	γ_i	δ_i
0	1	1	0	0	0	0
1	5	25	1	0	2	0
2	25	30	2	0	4	2
3	20	34	2	1	4	4
4	30	25	4	2	5	5
5	24	30	4	3	10	12
6	34	34	4	4	10	14

We find the collision $x_6 = y_6 = 34$, which yields the congruence $g^4 h^4 \equiv_{37} g^{10} h^{14}$, hence that $g^6 \equiv_{37} h^{-10}$. Using the extended Euclidean algorithm, we find that $\gcd(10, 36) = 2$ and that $25 \cdot -10 \equiv_{36} 2$. In particular, multiplying the exponents on both sides of $g^6 \equiv_{37} h^{-10}$ by 25, we find that

$$g^{6 \cdot 25} \equiv_{37} g^6 \equiv_{37} h^2$$

This means that either $g^3 \equiv_{37} h$ or $g^{21} \equiv_{37} h$. Computing these powers of g , we find that $g^{21} \equiv_{37} 5^{21} \equiv_{37} 23 \equiv_{37} h$ solves the DLP.

Question 5

(a) Note that $N \equiv_{19} 10$ and $N \equiv_{23} 2$.

By definition, $F(a+k)$ is divisible by 19 if and only if $(a+k)^2 \equiv_{19} N \equiv_{19} 10$. By computing squares of integers modulo 19, we find that 10 is not a square modulo 19, hence that there exist no value of $k \geq 0$ such that $F(a+k)$ is divisible by 19

Similarly, $F(a+k)$ is divisible by 23 if and only if $(a+k)^2 \equiv_{23} 2$. By computing squares modulo 23, we find that $5^2 \equiv_{23} 2$, hence that $(a+k)^2 \equiv_{23} 2$ if and only if $a+k \equiv_{23} \pm 5$. Since $a \equiv_{23} 19$, we see that 23 divides $F(a+k)$ if and only if $k \equiv_{23} 9$ or $k \equiv_{23} 22$.

(b) We need to find all products of the 11-smooth numbers

$$\begin{aligned} (a+1)^2 - N &= 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7, \\ (a+6)^2 - N &= 3^2 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^3, \\ (a+286)^2 - N &= 3^7 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11, \\ (a+421)^2 - N &= 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7^4, \\ (a+3289)^2 - N &= 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^3, \\ (a+4389)^2 - N &= 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^7 \cdot 11, \\ (a+5951)^2 - N &= 2^2 \cdot 5^3 \cdot 7 \cdot 11^4, \end{aligned}$$

that are perfect squares. This amounts to doing linear algebra over \mathbb{F}_2 with the exponents of the prime numbers on the right-hand side of the equations above. To this end, consider the matrix

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where the columns correspond to the 11-smooth numbers given above, and the rows to the prime factors 2, 3, 5, 7 and 11. More precisely, the entry at the i -th row and j -th column is equal to 1 if, for the j -th 11-smooth number given above, the exponent of the i -th prime factor is an odd number, and this entry is equal to 0 otherwise. The perfect squares that can be formed using the given 11-smooth numbers correspond to elements of the kernel of M . Since we are working modulo 2, the number of elements in $\ker(M)$ is equal to $2^{\dim(\ker(M))}$. Performing Gaussian elimination on the matrix M (modulo 2) yields the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This shows that M has rank 3. Since M has seven columns, it follows that its kernel must have dimension 4, hence that one can form $2^4 = 16$ perfect squares out of the given 11-smooth numbers. Note, however, that this also includes the case $0 = 0^2$, hence there are 15 non-trivial perfect squares that can be formed. Two examples of vectors in the kernel of M are $(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$ and $(0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0)$, which correspond to the perfect squares

$$(a + 6)^2(a + 5951)^2 = 9727416^2 \equiv_N 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^4 \cdot 11^4$$

and

$$(a + 6)^2(a + 286)^2(a + 3289)^2 = 9972310144^2 \equiv_N 2^2 \cdot 3^{10} \cdot 5^4 \cdot 7^6 \cdot 11^4$$

respectively.

(c) The Euclidean algorithm gives us

$$\gcd(9727416 - 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^2 \cdot 11^2, N) = 1,$$

so the first factor perfect square does not give us a non-trivial factor of N . However,

$$\gcd(9972310144 - 2 \cdot 3^5 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 7^3 \cdot 11^2, N) = 1613,$$

so we have found that 1613 is a non-trivial factor of N .