Homework 1 of 4

Logic, Stockholm University, Autumn 2014

Peter LeFanu Lumsdaine
http://kurser.math.su.se/course/view.php?id=186

September 12, 2014

Due Thursday 18 September, in class (or by email before class). Problems are marked with the per milles they count for on the final grade. This homework contains 4 problems.

1. (8‰) Either prove, or give a counterexample to, each of the following logical consequence statements:
   (a) $\models (P_1 \to \neg P_1)$
   (b) $(P_1 \wedge (P_2 \vee P_3)) \models (P_1 \wedge P_2) \vee (P_1 \wedge P_3)$
   (c) $(P_1 \vee (P_2 \wedge P_3)) \models (P_1 \vee P_2) \wedge (P_1 \vee P_3)$
2. (7‰) Give natural deduction proofs showing each of the following:
   (a) $(P_1 \vee P_2), \neg P_2 \vdash P_1$.
   (b) For any formula φ, $\varphi \vdash \top$.
   (c) $\vdash ((P_1 \to P_2) \to P_1) \to P_1$. (Hint: this requires *reductio ad absurdum.*)
3. (4‰) Give the $\vee$-introduction-1 and $\bot$-elimination cases of the proof of soundness.
4. (6‰) Prove, or give a counterexample to, the following statements:
   (a) For any set of formulas Γ and formulas ψ_1, ψ_2, if $\Gamma \models \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2$, then $\Gamma \models \psi_1$ and $\Gamma \models \psi_2$.
   (b) For any set of formulas Γ and formulas ψ_1, ψ_2, if $\Gamma \models \psi_1 \vee \psi_2$, then either $\Gamma \models \psi_1$ or $\Gamma \models \psi_2$.